

MAPS SCORECARD ATTACHMENT 0003

#	RFP Section	Capability	Qualification	Max Points
Systems and Rates				
1	L.2.2.1	Government Approved Systems and Rates	Offeror receives one (1) point for having two (2) of the following approved systems or rates; Offeror receives one (1) additional point for having three (3) or more approved systems or rates: <input type="checkbox"/> Approved Rates (e.g., Forward Pricing/Billing) <input type="checkbox"/> Purchasing System <input type="checkbox"/> Estimating System <input type="checkbox"/> Property Management System	2
2	L.2.2.2	Certifications	Offeror receives 1 point (per certification), for having any of the additional certifications: <input type="checkbox"/> CMMC Level 2 or Higher <input type="checkbox"/> ANSI/EIA-748	2
Past Performance				
3	L.2.3.2	Relevance	Offerors will earn five (5) points per QP that meets 100% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing. Offerors will earn three (3) points per QP that meets 75-99% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing. Offerors will earn two (2) points per QP that meets 50-74% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing. Offerors will earn one (1) point per QP that meets 25-49% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing. Offerors will earn zero (0) points per QPs that meets 0-24% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing. The maximum points to be received for Relevance is 15.	15
4	L.2.3.3	NAICS Alignment	Each QP that is submitted to demonstrate past performance, that aligns with Domain specific NAICS will receive one (1) additional point. The maximum points to be received for NAICS Alignment is three (3).	3
5	L.2.3.4	Recency	The QP's submitted must have at least one (1) year of performance, but not over four (4) years old. If the Offeror has a QP that has a period of performance end date within the last two (2) years that QP will receive one (1) additional point per QP. The maximum points to be received for Recency is three (3).	3
6	L.2.3.5	Performance Quality	Offeror receives one (1) point for one (1) recent QP with a Satisfactory or above PP Rating in all CPAR/PPQ elements. Offeror receives three (3) points for two (2) recent QPs with a Satisfactory or above PP Rating in all CPAR/PPQ elements. Offeror receives five (5) points if all three (3) recent QPs with Satisfactory or above PP Rating in all CPAR/PPQ elements. Offeror does not receive credit for a project with a below satisfactory rating in any of the CPAR ratings, a neutral rating (i.e., lack of past performance information), or a non-relevant project (regardless of the PP score). If offeror has CPAR the Government will utilize CPAR. If no CPAR is available then the offeror can submit PPQs. The maximum points to be received for Performance Quality is five (5).	5
Technical				
7	L.2.4.1	Recruitment	Offeror receives points based on the confidence rating defined below: High Confidence (3 Points): The Government has high confidence that the offeror can assess the ability to attract qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with little or no Government intervention. Some Confidence (2 Points): The Government has some confidence that the offeror can assess the ability to attract qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with some Government intervention. Low Confidence (1 Point): The Government has low confidence that the offeror can assess the ability to attract qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution even with Government intervention. The maximum points to be received for Recruitment is three (3).	3
8	L.2.4.2	Retention	Offeror receives points based on the confidence rating defined below: High Confidence (3 Points): The Government has high confidence that the offeror can assess the ability to retain qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with little or no Government intervention. Some Confidence (2 Points): The Government has some confidence that the offeror can assess the ability to retain qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with some Government intervention. Low Confidence (1 Point): The Government has low confidence that the offeror can assess the ability to retain qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution even with Government intervention. The maximum points to be received for Recruitment is three (3).	3
9	L.2.4.3	Risk Management	Offeror receives points based on the confidence rating defined below: High Confidence (3 Points): The Government has high confidence that the offeror can evaluate the proactive risk management strategies, including contingency planning and provide a clear strategy for identifying, mitigating, and managing risks with little or no Government intervention. Some Confidence (2 Points): The Government has some confidence that the offeror can evaluate the proactive risk management strategies, including contingency planning and provide a clear strategy for identifying, mitigating, and managing risks with some Government intervention. Low Confidence (1 Point): The Government has low confidence that the offeror can evaluate the proactive risk management strategies, including contingency planning and provide a clear strategy for identifying, mitigating, and managing risks even with Government intervention. The maximum points to be received for Recruitment is three (3).	3
TOTAL CREDITS AVAILABLE				39
TOTAL CREDIT EARNED				