

DRAFT – SECTIONS L & M**MARKETPLACE FOR THE ACQUISITION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (MAPS)****05 November 2024**

Army Contracting Command – Aberdeen Proving Ground (ACC-APG) and Program Executive Office (PEO) Enterprise, Computer Hardware, Enterprise Software and Solutions (CHESS) program, intends to award a Multiple Award Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract, from the combination of the ACC-APG's Responsive Strategic Sourcing for Services (RS3) and the CHESS' Information Technology Enterprise Solutions – 3 Services (ITES-3S) IDIQ Vehicles, creating the Marketplace for the Acquisition of Professional Services (MAPS). By combining these vehicles, the Army aims to enhance flexibility, reduce redundancy, and improve cost-efficiency in acquiring knowledge-based services, and other functions. This integration will also ensure a more unified approach to meeting the Army's evolving technology and strategic needs, thus optimizing mission readiness and effectiveness. The maximum ordering period may be 10 years, consisting of a five (5) year Base Ordering Period and one (1) five (5) year Optional Ordering Period. The Government reserves the right to cancel this requirement without incurring any proposal fees.

NOTE: All procedures are at the sole discretion of the Government as set forth in this draft Sections L&M. **THIS DRAFT Sections L&M IS NOT AN AUTHORIZATION TO START WORK.**

SECTION L – INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICE TO OFFERORS**L.1 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS & COMPLIANCE**

1. Submission instructions will be provided with the final solicitation release.
2. The Offeror shall prepare proposal as follows:

| Document | File Name* | Notes |
|---|--|--|
| Cover Letter | xxxxCOVERDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf | 1 Page Max |
| Gate Criteria Attachment 0001 | xxxxGATEDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf | |
| Volume I – Systems, Rates, & Certifications | xxxxSandRDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxCertsDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf | |
| Volume II – Past Performance | xxxxQP1DDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxQP2DDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxQP3DDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf | 3 Page Max (1 Page per Qualifying Project (QP)) |
| Volume III – Technical | xxxxRECRUITMENTDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxRETENTIONDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxRISKDDMMYYYY.doc or .pdf | 6 Page Max (2 Pages Each) |

*Offerors shall replace “xxxx” with the Offerors name.

3. Each volume shall be properly identified, numbered, clearly indexed, and logically assembled. Each volume shall also contain clearly identified sections and all pages shall be numbered and identified by the complete company name, date, and solicitation number in the header and/or footer.
4. The entire proposal shall remain valid for a minimum of 180 calendar days from receipt by the Government. Proposals offering a shorter period will not be considered for award.
5. In order to reduce proposal size, proposals shall be limited to the number of pages as directed in the table above for each volume. All proposal information shall be confined to the appropriate file. The page limit (identified in the table above) is viewed as total pages within a file, excluding a table of contents, cover page, and glossary page(s). Pages containing text shall be typewritten using the standard letter size (8.5 x 11 inches) in Microsoft Word or Adobe, as applicable. Text shall be a minimum of 12-point Times New Roman or Arial font and no less than one-inch margins/borders. Any table or graphic shall use a minimum of 10-point Times New Roman or Arial font. Drawings or other graphics shall be reduced only to the extent legibility is not lost.

- 49 6. The proposal shall be clear, concise, and shall include sufficient detail for effective
50 evaluation and for substantiating the validity of stated claims. The proposal should not
51 simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements but, rather, shall provide
52 convincing rationale to address how the Offeror intends to meet the requirements and
53 ensure successful performance. Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior
54 knowledge of their facilities or experience and will base its evaluation on the information
55 presented in the Offeror's proposal.
56
- 57 7. All information the Offeror intends to have considered, shall be submitted with the initial
58 proposal. The Government proposal evaluation will be limited to the information
59 provided by the Offeror, and nothing will be assumed. Offerors are responsible for
60 including sufficient details to permit a complete, thorough, and accurate evaluation of the
61 submitted proposal.
62
- 63 8. Offerors may propose to as many of the five (5) Domains as they chose but must identify
64 the Domains in their proposal response. Offerors shall specify which Domains they are
65 proposing too on their cover page. The 5 Domains are:
66 o Technical Services (NAICS code: 541330)
67 o Management and Advisory Services (NAICS code: 541715)
68 o Research Development Testing and Evaluation (RDT&E) Services (NAICS code:
69 561110)
70 o High Level IT Services (NAICS code: 541512)
71 o Low-Level IT Services (NACIS code: 541519)
72

73 **L.2 PROPOSAL CONTENTS**

74 All information the Offeror intends to have considered shall be submitted with the initial
75 proposal. Initial proposal shall contain the best offer. Offerors are responsible for including
76 sufficient information to permit a complete, thorough, and accurate evaluation of the
77 submitted proposal. Proprietary information shall be clearly marked.
78

79 To be eligible for award, the Offeror must adhere to the directions and submit the following
80 information:
81

82 **L.2.1 COVER LETTER AND GATE CRITERIA**

83
84 Offerors shall submit a one (1) page cover letter that consists of the following information:

- 85 • Point of Contact for Proposal;
 - 86 • Clearly state which Domain(s) Offeror is proposing to;
 - 87 • Company Name;
 - 88 • Business Size; and
 - 89 • Company Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code
- 90

Offerors shall fully complete Attachment 0001 “Gate Criteria Questions” and provide required supporting documentation to include with their proposal. The Government will utilize Attachment 0001, to first determine if the Offeror will make it through the gate questions onto the next step of the proposal evaluation process. The Gate Criteria consists of the following:

- Active Facility Clearance
- Certifications
- Government Approved Accounting System
- Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) Ratings

If the Offeror is a Small Business their questions will consist of the following:

- Small Business Certification
- Active Facility Clearance
- Certifications
- CPARS Ratings

L.2.2 VOLUME I - SYSTEMS, RATES AND CERTIFICATIONS

The Offeror shall provide 1 (one) PDF or word document that consists of the following information to show verification of the Systems, Rates and Certifications the Offeror has.

L.2.2.1 Government Approved Systems and Rates

The Offeror must provide the following documentation to support any Government Approved systems or rates that they claim to have:

APPROVED PURCHASING SYSTEM

The Offeror must provide verification from the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA), or any Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA), of an approved purchasing system in a single PDF file format to include:

- Part 1 – Provide the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and POC information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at the Cognizant DCMA or CFA that determined approval.
- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offerors official Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR) report, if available and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the approval of the purchasing system. The Offeror shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the CPSR audit or letter that determined the approval of the purchasing system.

APPROVED RATES

The Offeror must provide current verification from the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), DCMA, or any CFA of Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRA), Forward Pricing Rate Recommendations (FPRR), and/or Approved Billing Rates in a single PDF file format to include the following information:

- Part 1 - Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and point of contact information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at their Cognizant DCAA, DCMA, or CFA that determined approval.
- Part 2 - Provide at least one (1) of the following: (1) An official letter received from the federal auditing agency on their agency letterhead from DCAA, DCMA, or any CFA indicating that the Offeror's FPRA, FPRR, and/or Approved Billing Rate had been reviewed, approved, and/or determined acceptable. (2) A copy of the Offeror's official FPRA, FPRR Approved Billing Rates, audit report and audit report number from DCAA, DCMA, or CFA identifying the rates in the FPRA, FPRR, and/or Approved Billing Rates that have been audited and determined acceptable for generating estimates of costs and other data included in proposals submitted to customers. The offer shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the audit report or letter that sets forth the FPRA, FPRR, and/or Billing Rates.

APPROVED ESTIMATING SYSTEM

The Offeror must provide current verification from the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), DCMA, or any CFA of an audited and accepted estimating system in a single PDF file format to include the following information:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and the point of contact information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at DCAA, DCMA, or any CFA that determined approval.
- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offeror's official audit report, if available and/or official letterhead from DCAA, DCMA, or any CFA verifying the acceptability of the estimating system that has been audited and determined acceptable for budgeting and planning controls, and generating estimates of costs and other data included in proposals submitted to customers in the expectation of receiving contract awards. The offer shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the audit report or letter that verifies the adequacy of the estimating system.

PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Offeror must provide current verification from DCMA, or any CFA of an audited and accepted property management system in a single PDF file format to include the following information:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and the point of contact information that includes the name, address, phone

number, and email of the representative at DCMA, or the CFA that determined approval.

- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offerors official Property Management System Review report, if available and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the approval of the purchasing system. The Offeror shall refer to the page number and paragraph of the audit or letter that determined the approval of the purchasing system.

L.2.2.2 Certifications

If the Offeror has any of the following certifications, outside of the required Certifications in Attachment 0001, they must provide documentation to demonstrate that the certifications are valid, accurate, and active. Certifications that are not verified will not be considered valid.

CAPABILITY MATURITY MODEL CERTIFICATION (CMMC) LEVEL 2 OR HIGHER

The Offeror shall provide verification of a current CMMC Level 2 or higher Certification. Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved CMMC certification body. The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the CMMC Certification.

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS INSTITUTE/ELECTRONIC INDUSTRIES ALLIANCE STANDARD 748, EARNED VALUE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (ANSI/EIA-748)

The Offeror shall provide verification of a current ANSI/EIA-748 Certification. Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved ANSI/EIA-748 certification body. The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the ANSI/EIA-748 Certification.

L.2.3 VOLUME II - PAST PERFORMANCE

L.2.3.1 Qualifying Project (QP) Submission

QPs will be used to evaluate the Past Performance factor.

Offerors may submit a **maximum of three (3)** distinct QPs for each specific Domain they are proposing to.

To be considered a QP, each submitted project must meet all the following minimum criteria:

- Be any of the following:

- A single contract – including prime contracts, subcontracts, and commercial contracts; or
- A single Task Order awarded under an IDIQ contract, Blanket Purchase Agreement (BPA), or Basic Ordering Agreement, including single or multiple award; or
- A Task Order under a Federal Supply Schedule contract (FAR 8.405-2) or BPA (FAR 8.405-3)
- Meet or exceed a minimum annual value of \$2M;
- Have at least one (1) year of performance, but not over four (4) years old. The period of performance end date shall be within the last four (4) years of the final proposal submission due date identified in this RFP.
- The NAICS code of the QP must be the same as one (1) of the five (5) NAICS codes aligned to the Domains of this solicitation. Please note, while this is required, the QP does not have to match the exact NAICS code the Offeror is proposing to. *For example, Offeror A may submit a proposal to the Technical Domain (NAICS code: 541330) and utilize a QP that has a NAICS Code: 541715 (the RDT&E Domain).*

The Offeror shall provide the following information for each QP:

- The Specific Contract/Agreement number. If the Offeror was a subcontractor, they need to submit a copy of the signed agreement.
- Dollar value;
- NAICS code;
- A brief description of the work performed and a mapping to the PWS to demonstrate the work performed is relevant to the Offerors proposed Domain; and
- If the Offeror's QP is not available in the CPARS, then the Offeror shall provide a Past Performance Questionnaire, Attachment 0002, which will not be counted toward the QP page limitation.

L.2.4 VOLUME III- TECHNICAL (6-page limit)

L.2.4.1 Recruitment (2-page limit)

The Offeror shall provide an overview of its processes, procedures, and mechanisms utilized when it comes to employee recruitment. The overview shall demonstrate the Offeror's ability to recruit and hire staff for specialized and non-specialized labor categories to limit staffing issues.

At a minimum, the Offeror shall address the following:

- How qualified candidates will be recruited;
- How key positions will be recruited and staffed;
- Identify the timeline needed to recruit and staff positions not already filled;
- Identify how many recruiter positions are currently staffed;
- Identify if you have a Human Resources department; and,

- Provide an organizational structure of your Human Resources Department.

L.2.4.2 Retention (2-page limit)

The Offeror shall provide an overview of its processes, procedures, and/or mechanisms utilized for retaining employees. The overview shall demonstrate the Offeror's ability to retain employees throughout the life of the program.

At a minimum the Offeror's response shall address the following:

- Provide an explanation of how your company supports internal and professional development;
- Identify any mentorship programs currently offered to employees; and,
- Provide an overview of the specific processes currently being utilized to retain employees.

L.2.4.3 Risk Management (2-page limit)

The Offeror shall provide an overview of its policies and procedures for identifying, mitigating, and managing risks in order to demonstrate its ability to identify and manage risks.

At a minimum the Offeror's response shall address the following:

- Identify any proactive risk management strategies, to include risk identification, risk assessment, risk avoidance, risk monitoring, and risk response planning; and,
- Provide an overview of your current Organizational Conflict of Interest plan that prescribes responsibilities, general rules, and procedures for identifying, evaluating, and resolving organizational conflicts of interest in accordance with FAR Subpart 9.5.

SECTION M – EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

M.1 BASIS FOR AWARDS

The Government intends to make 100 awards in total, 20 per Domain. Each Domain will have small business reserve requirements. The Government intends to award these contracts without discussions but reserves the right to conduct discussions at its discretion. Proposals must contain the best offer. The Government may conduct clarifications, as described in FAR 15.306.

The Government will perform a comparative/trade-off analysis of those Offerors who are eligible for award.

To be considered eligible for award, an Offeror's proposal, at a minimum, shall follow all instructions in this RFP.

M.2 OVERALL EVALUATION APPROACH

The evaluation process will begin by assessing the Offeror's gate criteria responses and all supporting documentation provided on Attachment 0001. In order to continue in the evaluation process, the Offeror's proposal must meet all gate criteria.

The Gate Criteria consists of the following:

- Active Facility Clearance of Secret
- Certifications
 - ISO 9001:2015
 - CMMC Level I
 - ISO/IEC 27001:2022
 - NIST SP 800-53 Rev 5
 - NIST SP 800-53B
 - NIST SP 800-37 Rev 2
 - CNSS Instruction 1253:2022
 - NIST SP 800-171 Assessment
- Government Approved Accounting System
- CPARS Ratings

If the Offeror is a Small Business their questions will consist of the following:

- Small Business Certification
- Active Facility Clearance
- Certifications
 - ISO 9001:2015
 - CMMC Level I
 - ISO/IEC 27001:2022
 - NIST SP 800-53 Rev 5
 - NIST SP 800-53B
 - NIST SP 800-37 Rev 2
 - CNSS Instruction 1253:2022
 - NIST SP 800-171 Assessment
- CPARS Ratings

The evaluation process will then continue by the Government evaluating each proposal against the scorecard rubric for each Domain, and determining the points earned. Each Domain has a specific Rubric Criteria Scorecard. Once the points earned are calculated by the evaluation team, the process will continue to rank each proposal in order from highest total points to lowest total points, per Domain.

At any time, if the evaluation team discovers misleading, falsified or fraudulent proposal information, the Offeror will be eliminated from further consideration for award. The Government reserves the right to segment the evaluation process and conduct phased awards.

M.3 EVALUATION OF VOLUME I – SYSTEMS, RATES, AND CERTIFICATION

System and Rates

The Offeror will receive points for having the following Government Approved systems or rates; Approved Rates (e.g. Forward Pricing, Billing), Purchasing System, Estimating System, or Property Management System. The Offeror will receive one (1) point for having two (2) approved systems or rates. If the Offeror has three (3) or more approved systems or rates, they will receive one (1) additional point. The maximum amount of points the Offeror can receive is two (2) point.

In order to earn points for Systems and Rates, the Offeror must ensure all the requested proposal submission information is current, accurate, and complete in accordance with Section L.2.2.

Certifications

The Offeror will receive one (1) point per additional certification, outside of the required Attachment 0001 certifications, for having the following: CMMC Level 2 or Higher and American National Standards Institute/Electronic Industries Alliance Standard 748 and Earned Value Management Systems (ANSI/EIA-748).

In order to earn points, the Offeror must ensure all the requested proposal submission information is current, accurate, and complete in accordance with Section L.2.2 Volume I – Systems, Rates and Certifications.

M.4 EVALUATION OF VOLUME II – PAST PERFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the Offerors QPs for the following Past Performance factors; Relevance, NAICS Alignment, Recency, and Performance Quality for each Domain Specific NAICS the Offeror is proposing to.

In order for the Offeror to earn points for each of the Past Performance factors, the Offeror must submit all information in accordance with Section L.2.3. The Offeror may submit a **maximum of three (3)** QP per Domain.

M.4.1 Relevance: The Government will evaluate each QP submitted by the Offeror, to determine its relevance to the Technical Capabilities identified for each Domain in the PWS. Each QP will be scored based on the following:

- Offerors will earn five (5) points per QP that meets 100% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
- Offerors will earn three (3) points per QP that meets 75-99% of the Technical

- Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
- Offerors will earn two (2) points per QP that meets 50-74% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
 - Offerors will earn one (1) point per QP that meets 25-49% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
 - Offerors will earn zero (0) points per QP that meets 0-24% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.

M.4.1.1 Technical Capabilities per Domains

Technical Domain (NAICS code: 541330)

The Technical Capabilities under the Technical Services Domain are as follows:

1. Engineering Services
2. Logistics Services
3. Manufacturing Readiness
4. Technology Insertion
5. Integration
6. Interoperability

Management and Advisory Domain (NAICS code: 541715)

The Technical Capabilities under the Management and Advisory Services Domain are as follows:

1. Acquisition and Strategic Planning
2. Financial Services
3. Training Services
4. Education Services
5. Program Management
6. Quality Assurance
7. Risk Management

RDT&E Domain (NAICS code: 561110)

The Technical Capabilities under the RDT&E Services Domain are as follows:

1. Basic Research
2. Applied Research
3. Experimental/Developmental Research
4. Modeling and Simulation
5. Prototyping and Fabrication Support
6. Exploratory Research

High-Level IT Domain (NAICS code: 541512)

The Technical Capabilities under the High-Level IT Services Domain are as follows:

1. Intelligent Automation (Artificial Intelligence (AI)/Robotic Pre-Automation)
2. Infrastructure, Services Platform, and Infrastructure Cloud Services
3. Component Framework
4. Big Data and Big Data Analytics Data Services
5. Quantum Computing
6. IT RDT&E
7. Business Process Reengineering (BPR)
8. Network/Systems Operation and Maintenance Enterprise
9. Integration and Consolidation Information Technology Services
10. Cybersecurity Services
11. Telecommunications/Systems Operation and Maintenance

Low-Level IT Domain (NAICS code: 541519)

The Technical Capabilities under the Low-Level IT Services Domain are as follows:

1. Help Desk Support
2. Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)
3. IT Education and Training
4. IT Supply Chain Management
5. IT Management Services
6. IT Services

M.4.2 NAICS Alignment: The Government will evaluate each of the Offeror's QPs to determine if the QP aligns with the Domain Specific NAICS. If the QP is determined to align with the Domain Specific NAICS, the Offeror will receive one (1) additional point per QP with the maximum number of points being three (3).

M.4.3 Recency: The Government will evaluate each QP to ensure it has at least one year of performance but must have occurred within the last four (4) years from the date of release of this RFP. If the example is not recent, the example will not be evaluated further. If any of the QPs are within a period of performance end date of two (2) years, they will receive one (1) additional point per QP with the maximum number of points being three (3).

M.4.4 Performance Quality: The Government will evaluate the QPs to see how many of the CPARS or PPQ from the QPs have Satisfactory or above ratings. Offerors will receive points based on how many of their submitted QPs have all evaluation areas of the CPARS (Quality, Schedule, Cost Control, Management, Small Business Subcontracting, and Regulatory Compliance) or PPQ rated "Satisfactory" or above.

- The Offeror will receive one (1) point for one (1) recent QP with a Satisfactory or above Past Performance (PP) Rating in all CPAR/PPQ elements.
- The Offeror will receive three (3) points for two (2) recent QPs with a Satisfactory or above PP Rating in all CPAR/PPQ elements.
- The Offeror will receive five (5) points for three (3) recent QPs with Satisfactory or above PP Rating in all CPAR/PPQ elements.

The Offeror will not receive points for a project with any evaluation areas below a satisfactory rating, a neutral rating (i.e., lack of past performance information), or a non-relevant project (regardless of the PP score). If the Offeror has CPAR the Government will utilize CPARS. If no CPARS is available, then the Government will utilize the PPQ (Attachment 0002) submitted by the Offeror. The maximum number of points that can be earned is five (5).

The Adjectival Ratings are defined from FAR Table 42-1 “Evaluation Rating Definition” below:

| Rating Value | Adjectival Rating | FAR Table 42-1 – Evaluation Rating Definitions |
|--------------|-------------------|---|
| 5 | Exceptional | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
| 4 | Very Good | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective. |
| 3 | Satisfactory | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
| 2 | Marginal | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a |

| | | |
|---|----------------|---|
| | | serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractors proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
| 1 | Unsatisfactory | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements, and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |

The PPQ Ratings are as follows:

| Rating Value | Adjectival Rating | Performance Evaluation Questionnaire Ratings |
|--------------|-------------------|---|
| 5 | Exceptional | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
| 4 | Very Good | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective. |
| 3 | Satisfactory | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
| 2 | Marginal | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractors |

| | | |
|---|----------------|---|
| | | proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
| 1 | Unsatisfactory | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements, and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |

M.6 EVALUATION OF VOLUME III – TECHNICAL

In order to earn points for Technical, the Offeror must submit all information in accordance with Section L.2.4.

M.6.1 Recruitment: In order to earn points for Recruitment, the Offeror must ensure all the requested proposal submission information is current, accurate, and complete in accordance with Section L.2.4.1.

Recruitment will be rated based on a confidence level as follows:

| Confidence Level | Description |
|------------------|---|
| High Confidence | The Government has high confidence that the Offeror can assess the ability to attract qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with little or no Government intervention. |
| Some Confidence | The Government has some confidence that the Offeror can assess the ability to attract qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with some Government intervention. |
| Low Confidence | The Government has low confidence that the Offeror can assess the ability to attract qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution even with Government intervention. |

M.6.2 Retention: In order to earn points for Retention, the Offeror must ensure all the requested proposal submission information is current, accurate, and complete

in accordance with Section L.2.4.2.

Retention will be rated based on a confidence level as follows:

| Confidence Level | Description |
|------------------|--|
| High Confidence | The Government has high confidence that the Offeror can assess the ability to retain qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with little or no Government intervention. |
| Some Confidence | The Government has some confidence that the Offeror can assess the ability to retain qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution with some Government intervention. |
| Low Confidence | The Government has low confidence that the Offeror can assess the ability to retain qualified personnel, ensuring stable project execution even with Government intervention. |

M.6.3 Risk Management: In order to earn points for Risk Management, the Offeror must ensure all the requested proposal submission information is current, accurate, and complete in accordance with Section L.2.4.3.

Risk Management will be rated based on a confidence level as follows:

| Confidence Level | Description |
|------------------|---|
| High Confidence | The Government has high confidence that the Offeror can evaluate the proactive risk management strategies, including contingency planning and provide a clear strategy for identifying, mitigating, and managing risks with little or no Government intervention. |
| Some Confidence | The Government has some confidence that the Offeror can evaluate the proactive risk management strategies, including contingency planning and provide a clear strategy for identifying, |

| | |
|----------------|--|
| | mitigating, and managing risks with some Government intervention. |
| Low Confidence | The Government has low confidence that the Offeror can evaluate the proactive risk management strategies, including contingency planning and provide a clear strategy for identifying, mitigating, and managing risks even with Government intervention. |

M.7 MAPS SCORING TABLE

Please see Attachment 0003 for the Scorecard.