

Question Id	Original Question	Published Answer
1670	If an Offeror is only submitting a proposal for example Category C, how are we to instruct our customers when completing the Past Performance Questionnaire to handle Category's A and B in Section 4 Technical Area Relevance Ratings? Are our customers to leave those blank or put N/A?	Yes, the fields for the not applicable Categories should have N/A entered or left blank.
1678	Will the Government provide a template for the Small Business Subcontracting form/template? Or does the Government want each offeror to provide their own?	No, a template will not be provided. See FAR 52.219-9(g) for guidance specific to commercial subcontracting plans, and 52.219-9(d) for guidance for all subcontracting plans.
1704	Should this file or section be named "TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A)" as shown here, or "Technical Approach Volume III-A" as shown in A.3.6.B in the table of page 96?	An example of the file name is provided in A.3.6(A)(3): GetItDone_Category#-Technical Approach. The information in the table in A.3.6.B and the section header in A.3.7.3. is not meant to imply a file name structure.
1705	Should this file or section be named "MANAGEMENT APPROACH (SUBFACTOR B)" as shown here, or "Management Approach Volume III-B" as shown in A.3.6.B in the table on page 96?	An example of the file name is provided in A.3.6(A)(3): GetItDone_Category#-Management Approach. The information in the table in A.3.6.B and the section header in A.3.7.3. is not meant to imply a file name structure.
1719	Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix- Category A contains six headers that seem to relate to the Draft RFP's Category A Content Representative Areas. We wanted to confirm whether or not those column headers should be revised to reflect the Final RFP's Category A Content Representative Areas.	The solicitation was amended to match the sample table headings to the available Category A content areas.
1737	Is NASA requiring all primes to submit any documentation from SourceAmerica? Can a prime use an NPA without SourceAmerica's help since all qualified NPAs are published on the AbilityOne website?	<p>"The Commitment Letter is required if Prime intends to compete only on TOs with NAICS/PSC Codes that require AbilityOne subcontracting. A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at <a href="mailto:primecontractor@abilityone.org">primecontractor@abilityone.org</a>. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative.</p> <p>To qualify for subcontracting on the SEWP VI Contract, a nonprofit agency must meet the eligibility criteria of a producing AbilityOne Network Nonprofit Agency in good standing. If it meets the criteria, the NPA must execute an agreement with their respective Central Nonprofit Agency (CNA) (NIB or SourceAmerica) and meet the reporting and other requirements to the CNA. "</p>
1744	The Mission Suitability Proposal must specifically state for which group the offeror is proposing." Please confirm if small businesses claiming WOSB, EDWOSB, 8(a), HUBZone, etc. should also indicate their socioeconomic status here in addition to Category and Group (B1, B2, C1, C2, etc.).	No.
1752	"The Mission Suitability Proposal must specifically state for which group the offeror is proposing." Although SBA banned self-certification for WOSB in 2020, any company can still self-certify as a woman-owned business or woman-owned small business in SAM.gov without receiving SBA or third-party certification. The SBA's Office of Inspector General recently released a detailed report highlighting the vulnerabilities of the program to fraud and abuse. To significantly cut down on post-award size status protests and the workload on NASA to independently verify each offeror with SBA, NASA should avoid the risk that companies who are not actually WOSB or EDWOSB from receive NASA SEWP awards (IAW 19.1503(b)(2)). To do so in a way that optimizes NASA's review efficiency, we respectfully request that NASA add a section to Volume I to ask small business offerors to include copies of their active WOSB or EDWOSB certification from SBA (or one of the four authorized non-profit third party certifiers), or proof that they have a pending application in the DSBS database (IAW 13 CFR 127.504(a)).	The solicitation will remain as stated.

1768	<p>Past Performance Volume, (c) Independent Past Performance Information states "NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror..." Please clarify the following pertaining to the independent past performance information:</p> <p>(1) Please confirm that independent past performance information is optional.</p> <p>(2) Please define how the Government will evaluate this independent past performance information. Note that Section A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance does not include "Independent Past Performance Information."</p> <p>(2) Please specify the difference between this section and data point #9 under Information from Offeror section (a).</p> <p>(3) Please detail how an offeror should include this independent past performance information. For example, should this data be an attachment to the volume?</p> <p>(4) Please confirm that independent past performance data is excluded from page count.</p>	<p>Independent past performance information is not provided by the Offeror. NASA may bring in past performance information from other sources, independent of the Offeror's submission.</p>
1817	<p>Since CPARS maintains historical and current past performance records of all Government contracts and task orders, will the Government allow offerors to submit the most recent CPARS report for a Past Performance Reference, in lieu of a Past Performance Questionnaire?</p>	<p>No.</p>
1832	<p>The evaluation criteria indicate a satisfactory level of performance is required, but the Exhibit 2 asks evaluators to rate contractors as Very High, High, Moderate, Low or Very Low. It is not clear what NASA considers to be satisfactory. Suggest identifying whether all sub factors should be rated moderate or better, or adjusting the five options in Exhibit 2 to Exceptional, Very Good, Satisfactory, Marginal and Unacceptable, and require all ratings to be rated satisfactory or better, consistent with standard CPARS practices.</p>	<p>No. Please refer to A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance.</p>
1834	<p>The evaluation criteria indicate a satisfactory level of performance is required, but the Exhibit 2 asks evaluators to rate contractors as Very High, High, Moderate, Low or Very Low. We suggest adjusting the five options in Exhibit 2 to Exceptional, Very Good, Satisfactory, Marginal and Unsatisfactory, and require all ratings to be rated satisfactory or better, consistent with standard CPARS practices. For the definitions, you can pull them from Table 42-1 in the FAR: <a href="https://www.acquisition.gov/far/subpart-42.15">https://www.acquisition.gov/far/subpart-42.15</a></p>	<p>No. Please refer to A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance.</p>
1863	<p>Class (B) Responses – specifically Service Disabled Veteran Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)– states in order to participate you will do so under NAICS Code 541519 – this code has a Small Business size standard of \$34M it also has an exception for 150 people.</p> <p>Our business has revenue over \$34M annually but does qualify under 150 total employees – can we still be awarded under the exception (under 150 people).</p> <p>We need to have this question answered to commit resources to respond to this proposal.</p> <p>Note any SDVOSB could easily be over the \$34M size standard with a few awards under this contract.</p>	<p>The Offeror is not required to use the default NAICS code of 541519. They should use the business size determination corresponding to the Proposal level NAICS code they select and enter into the SF-1449.</p>
1865	<p>Page 122. If our sam.gov reps &amp; certs are current, is it still necessary to complete the RFP reps &amp; certs?</p>	<p>Yes, the signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal submitted.</p>
1866	<p>Since a Qualifying Offeror must have Neutral or Satisfactory confidence level for past performance, please consider allowing offerors to submit CPARS instead of PPQs. A "Satisfactory" or above rating from a CPARS should suffice to show an offerors ability to perform.</p> <p>Rationale: Many government personnel are unwilling to provide PPQs since they have already completed CPARS and view additional requests as redundant. During a previous contract pursuit with a PPQ requirement, our company had a negative interaction with a contracting officer who expressed frustration at our request, potentially damaging our relationship.</p> <p>Consequently, we were unable to submit our top projects because the government declined to complete PPQs, despite our "Exceptional" and "Very Good" CPARS ratings. Our only options was to submit only examples where a government representative was willing to go above and beyond to complete the PPQ. The SEWP VI RFP is following this same flawed path, which could lead to similar issues and NASA will not learn about offerors best and most relevant experience.</p>	<p>CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.</p>

1885	V. FAR 52.212-3 OFFEROR REPRESENTATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS Does the government require offerors to complete the Representations and Certifications? If so, in which volume should they be included?	Yes, the signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal submitted. The documentation should be submitted in Volume I.
1899	The Relevant Experience Project Exhibit should be duplicated for each REP to be submitted, can these be combined into a single PDF or must they be submitted as separate PDFs? Must the project contract value be above the \$5M or the fees received be above that minimum?	Each REP shall be submitted separately using the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project template. The project contract value shall meet the minimum for the respective business size/socioeconomic category.
1928	Page 110 of main RFP document: Please confirm that CPARS from a member of the JV team are acceptable and thus Past Performance Questionnaires are not required.	CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs
1955	For NAICS 541330, would NASA consider invoking Exception 1 (Military and Aerospace Equipment and Military Weapons) with a size standard of \$47M to allow additional competition at the small business level?	No. NAICS 541330, Exception 1 (Military and Aerospace Equipment and Military Weapons) is not in scope.
1961	Can the government clarify if the additional information requested to address all elements under FAR 9.104 is excluded from the page count?	Yes. See Amendment 8.
1972	Our team plans to submit a proposal under Category B and Category C. We are both a Small Business and an 8(a) Certified Contractor. Post Award, we would like to pursue task orders set aside for Small Businesses and task orders set aside for 8(a) Contractors. The current proposal requirements have different thresholds and requirements between 8(a) and SB vendors. If we would like to pursue SB task orders must we comply with the SB proposal submission requirements (ie. Offerors identified as Small Businesses in Category B and C shall provide past performance references showcasing relevant work in at least three (3) content representative areas for content to be rated relevant (pertinent).) even though we represent as an 8(a) contractor. Or would responding IAW to the 8a requirements give us access to every socioeconomic category we present as, including Small Business?	Yes. Regardless of the NAICS code and business size being used for competition, Contract holders that meet the NAICS code and set-aside (or unrestricted) status and any other requirements of an Issuing Agency requirement will have access to the RFQ.
2010	The Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project (REP) template requires a NAICS. For commercial projects, contracts with agencies who do not utilize NAICS, and subcontracts is the use of "n/a" acceptable? If not, what do you require?	N/A should be entered in the NAICS row if the project does not have a NAICS code.
2012	Please confirm that Exhibit 5 is outside the 15 page limit for the Management Approach.	Yes.
2018	Could the government please clarify if contractors may submit CPARS ratings in lieu of past performance questionnaires? In certain cases, when the awarding contracting office and program office have changed since the contractor's performance, the agency Points of Contact may be reluctant to provide past performance questionnaires as the previous CO and COR have already completed CPARS evaluations. Allowing contractors to submit the FAR-approved CPARS ratings could greatly assist the industry.	CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
2020	Page 106 top paragraph: The solicitation states, "An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level for as a single past performance reference."  While submitting a single award IDIQ for past performance, could we submit CPARS ratings from one of the BPA calls instead of CPARS for the BPA itself?	No,
2029	The cover letter indicates that the "Offeror's proposal shall include completed exhibits in Microsoft Office Excel format with working cell formulas." Would the government please clarify that the only documents that need to be submitted in Excel format are Exhibits 3a, 3b, 3c, and 4?	The documents that need to be submitted in excel are Exhibits 3a, 4 and 5.
2032	In Section A.3.7.1, page 98, the RFP states that "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Does the government want us to use Exhibit 1, Relevant Experience Project Table to fulfill this requirement?	A REP provided by an Offeror shall meet the mandatory experience technical area for the category being proposed on Exhibit 1- Relevant Experience Project Table.
2034	In reference to Section A.3.7.1, page 98, the RFP states that "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Please define what the "NAICS code being used for competition" is?	"NAICS code being used for competition" refers to the NAICS code and business size selected by the Offeror at the time of submission as their Proposal level NAICS code and as entered in the SF1449.

2059	<p>In reference to Section A.3.7.3 Technical Approach, The solicitation states: "The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives including information in the following areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The scalability and extensibility of the offeror's capabilities that demonstrates the offeror's ability to deliver the fullest range of ITC/AV Solutions and/or Services for the proposed category, both inclusive of the listed technical areas and the breadth and depth beyond those Areas within the scope of the given Category.</li> <li>2. The offeror shall describe their ITC/AV-based solutions and/or services and how the proposed features provide technological leadership in allowing for the next generation of technology in terms of both solutions and services.</li> <li>3. The offeror shall describe their ITC/AV-based solutions and services and how the proposed architectural features provide technological leadership in allowing for the next generation of technology."</li> </ol> <p>Could the government reiterate the four acquisition objectives to which it refers.</p>	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
2101	In Section A.3.7.1, Third Bullet, it states, "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, ..." Could you confirm that this is the small business subcontract plan and not a general subcontracting plan?	The subcontracting plan referenced in Section A.3.7.1 is the small business subcontracting plan.
2119	Page 98 states, "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." How does the experience requested in this bullet differ from the experience requested in A.3.7.1(b) Mandatory Experience Offerings? What specifically is required in response to this requirement? Where, in the proposal, should we answer this question?	This information should be provided in the relevant past performance volume of the proposal. There is no relationship between the relevant experience in the Past Performance section and the e REPs provided in Exhibit 1.
2124	Is it possible to split the PPQ into two documents, one for Category A and one for Categories B & C? The document is long and for those of us only bidding Category A the document has 3 1/2 pages that is not applicable.	Yes.
2133	If an offeror is a small business protégé and bidding Category B/small business using an 8(a) Mentor protégé JV, can the offeror/protégé bid Category B/Large business Enterprise-wide IT Solutions as well?	Yes.
2137	In section A.3.7.1, there are requirements for 1) an AbilityOne Commitment Letter and 2) an explanation of subcontracting plan. Will the Government please clarify if these requirements apply to businesses participating in the SBA 8(a) Program?	Yes, these requirements apply to businesses participating in the SBA 8(a) Program.
2138	<p>Page 105 states: "A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services."</p> <p>Please provide guidance for the following scenario: An offeror qualifies as a small business for only one applicable NAICS code, 519290, for Category B and C. The company lacks direct past performance under this NAICS code because it conducts most of its sales through SEWP V, which falls under NAICS 541519 ITVAR. Can the company use its past performance within NAICS 541519 ITVAR instead of past performance specifically within NAICS 519290 for Category B and C?</p>	If the Offeror's the NAICS code being used for competition is 519290, then all past performance references must relate to NAICS code 519290.
2148	Does the offeror need to update all the NAICS codes utilized under footnote 18- on Sam.gov? or will the Primary NAICS 541512, 541519 suffice?	The Offeror's Proposal Level NAICS codes and any other NAICS codes noted in Exhibit 4 must reflect their SAM.gov NAICS code listing.
2151	<p>RFP Document-A.3.7.1 (last bullet)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide information addressing all the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume).</li> </ul> <p>Where in Volume I does the Government require the narrative and/or documentation associated with response to this bullet as there is no page count allotted for discussions in this Volume.</p>	The information and documentation associated with FAR 9.104 should be provided as part of the information in response to A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, (a) GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS within as a PDF file within Volume I of the offer.
2152	Section 3.7.1 A- Are offerors who are submitting only in Category C required to submit CMMI Certification or proof that the certification is in progress?	No.
2153	The RFP states a SB can use a subcontractor for REPs and past performance if they do not have the performance, but can a subcontractor be used in responding to the technical questions and sustainability sections within Mission Suitability?	Subcontractors can be referenced in the Mission Suitability section as support of the Offeror's capabilities.

2176	It is our understanding that, for small businesses, that for each of the REPs being submitted, that each REP only needs to speak to 1 Task Area within a category even if the REP covers multiple Task Areas. Is this a correct assessment of the requirement?	Yes.
2240	When submitting a proposal for a relevant Category as a small business, will the proposal submission tool allow an offeror to select multiple small business socio-economic set-asides for a single Category proposal? If not, will small businesses need to submit the same proposal more than once to capture all of the relevant socio-economic set-asides for a relevant Category proposal?	No, only one NAICS code and business size can be selected as the NAICS code being used for competition. You should submit only one proposal with Exhibit 4 completed that reflects all applicable small business categories your company qualifies for.
2242	For (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A), the government requires "a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives..." Please define the four acquisition objectives.	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
2266	Section A.4.4: "The Government will validate the consistency between all proposal volumes and any inconsistencies identified may indicate a lack of understanding and adversely impact the offeror's overall mission suitability confidence rating." For Category A, does this mean that the technical response in Volume 3 can only include the technical areas proposed in the Mandatory Experience spreadsheet (Exhibit 3a)?	No.
2270	For Category A - Letter of Authorization: many offerors have standing partnerships with OEMs/providers. For offerors submitting bids through a JV, is it acceptable for the LOAs to be in the name of one of the JV partners, instead of the JV entity itself?	The LOA must be in the name of the JV entity.
2280	Instructions state: For joint ventures the Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself.  Is this just a general statement or, within Volume 1, do JVs need to provide a list of the work done and qualifications held individually and by the JV?	It is a general statement. JVs do not need to provide a list of the work done and qualifications held individually.
2287	In Section A.3.7.2 it states:...The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. if an offeror is proposing in Category B and has three Past Performances to use, do all three have to have the same NAICS code? or can they use any of the NAICS Codes they have checked on Exhibit 4?	Amendment 8 clarified that if a NAICS code of a referenced contract or award, does not exist or match the NAICS code being used for competition then the Offeror should describe how the work relates to the NAICS code being used for competition.
2288	Instructions state: For joint ventures the Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself.  Does the Government want this information provided in a list that is separate from the REPs? If so, please provide specific details about what you're looking for exactly. "Provide work done and qualifications held" is vague. What details are the government looking for?	No, this is a general statement and not a requirement for additional information.
2290	"All Contractors competing and awarded a SEWP contract under NAICS Codes provided in A.1.34 NAICS and In-Scope NAICS Codes have a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne non-profit organizations as Subcontractors on orders utilizing any of the referenced NAICS codes identified with an asterisk."  and  "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable: The AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes." If all bidders are required to use AbilityOne subcontractors, under what circumstances would an AbilityOne commitment letter NOT be applicable?	An AbilityOne Commitment Letter is not applicable if the offeror is not submitting under NAICS/PSC Codes delineated by an asterisk * in the RFP.
2293	"The Offeror shall also provide the following information:  And  For joint ventures the Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself."  Please confirm that no specific information is being requested by this bullet point for the Offer Volume and that it is provided as guidance that applies to other sections.	Confirmed.
2301	Will NASA accept CPARS in lieu of PPQs?	No.

2318	Does the Government desire that all offerors would incorporate comments about how they intend to utilize AbilityOne providers in the mandatory service areas?	No. There is no requirement for offerors to incorporate comments on how they intend to utilize AbilityOne providers.
2358	Section A.4.5 Responsibility Determination (Page 120) - Can you clarify what, if any, additional information offerors should provide in the proposal related to responsibility outside of what is already covered in the specified proposal volumes? The section lists elements that will be evaluated but doesn't call out a specific proposal requirement.	Offerors do not need to provide additional information related to responsibility outside of what is already covered in the specified proposal volumes.
2367	We have CPARS reports with the feedback included from Federal level clients which are more authentic than the PPQ. Can we provide those relevant CPARS reports in lieu of the PPQs?	No.
2402	Can the offeror submit a past performance from one their subcontractors to count as 1 of the 3 past performances being submitted for the SEWP VI proposal?	No.
2404	For Category C, can the past performances submitted be one of the NAICS Codes in Section A.1.34 on page 63 Table "Category C- Information Technology, Communication, and Audio Visual (ITC/AV) Mission Based Services"?	The NAICS code of a referenced contract or award must relate to the NAICS code being used for competition which is selected at time of proposal from the Section A.1.34. Table.
2410	For Category C, can the PPQ submitted be one of the NAICS Codes in Section A.1.34 on page 63 Table "Category C- Information Technology, Communication, and Audio Visual (ITC/AV) Mission Based Services"?	The NAICS code of a referenced contract or award must relate to the NAICS code being used for competition which is selected at time of proposal from the Section A.1.34. Table.
2412	During the industry day on June 4th, the government demonstrated the process of submitting a proposal on the NASA portal and was selecting various offeror information like NAICS Code, does all the offeror's Category C PPQs must all have the same NAICS Code that was entered on the proposal submission portal? For example, all being the NAICS Code 541512.	Yes.
2414	Will the government accept a CPAR submitted by the offeror instead of submitting a PPQ signed by the project Contract Officer/COR/PM?	No.
2417	What are the four Acquisition Objectives for SEWP VI? It does not state that on page 111 and it is not found elsewhere in the RFP document. It implies a list 3 items, but not very clear. Please spell out specifically the 4 Acquisition Objectives for SEWP VI so that the offerors can respond to them.	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
2424	Reference A.3.6 Proposal Preparation (B) Proposal Content and Limitations, Page 97. In item 7, the offeror seeks clarification if this restriction applies to a single contract being referenced in multiple proposals for the following scenarios: Scenario 1 - A Small Business Prime offeror is bidding as a Prime in categories B and C. Can they use the same contract reference as a Category B REP/past performance and as a Category C REP/past performance, resulting in potential narrative duplications in the contract descriptions? Scenario 2 - A Small Business Prime offeror is submitting a contract on which they performed as a subcontractor as either a REP or past performance in support of their Prime NASA SEWP proposal. The entity who performed as the prime on that contract reference is also bidding NASA SEWP VI as a prime and is using that same contract refence in their bid, resulting in potential/incidental duplications in the narrative descriptions of the work. Can both of these offerors use the same contract as long as the description clearly reflects the work actually performed by each entity? We urge the Government to allow this, as there was similar (but more restrictive) language in the GSA Polaris solicitation which resulting in one of our Prime contractors forbidding us from referencing our own work because they were also referencing it in their Prime bid.	In the scenarios presented, the same reference can be utilized in the separate proposals.
2426	Where should offerors put their completed Representations and Certifications? In Volume I under responsibility IAW A.3.7.1, bullet 10 or in Volume III IAW A.3.7.2 (b); (4), v.; Attachment A: SEWP Statement of Work?	Offerors should place their completed Representations and Certifications in Volume I under responsibility IAW A.3.7.1, bullet 10.

2429	Reference Exhibit 2 - PastPerfQues-SEWP VI. In Section 6, the form requires that the evaluator provide the initial cost and fee of the contract and the current cost and fee of the contract. This information would only be available and applicable to Cost-Type contracts. For contracts where the information may be available, Technical or Program Management-type evaluators are not going to know this data or have it on-hand. They will have to route this through their contractual and financial Government counterparts which will significantly delay the turnaround of the PPQ. Strongly recommend removing the requirement to break our cost and fee.	The requirement to provide the initial cost and fee of the contract and the current cost and fee of the contract in Exhibit 2 - Past Performance Questionnaire, Section 6, is primarily applicable to Cost-Type contracts. For T&M or FFP LOE contracts, offerors should provide the total contract value and any relevant cost information available. For work performed as a subcontractor, offerors should provide the subcontract value and any relevant cost information available.
2441	Please confirm if Exhibit 4- NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk should be submitted as a separate Excel file.	Yes.
2456	Proposal Preparation A.3.7.2 (a) Page 109:Request that the past performance summary matrix also be excluded from the page limit of 10 pages, as this will take at least 1/2 page of space away from the narrative.	The past performance summary matrix is included in the page limit of 10 pages.
2457	Category A - Technical Areas NAICS code references: Responding as a Small Business. When selecting our 4 technical areas, do we need to ensure that we are considered a Small Business under the NAICS code listed in the Technical Area description? For example, we are responding as a Small Business but are not considered small under NAICS code 513210. Therefore, we should not select Technical Area 8: Software and Cloud Technology as one of our 4 response areas. Is that an accurate statement?	No. For the four designated Technical Areas in Phase 1, the Offeror's NAICS code being used+C76 for competition does not need to match the product NAICS code of a Technical Area.
2511	Is CMMI certification a requirement for Categories A, B, and C for a small business responding to this RFP?	As noted in the RFP CMMI certification is only required for Category B.
2524	On Exhibit 4, are offerors to complete size standards for all listed NAICS within the respective categories? For example, are offerors to list their size standard for all NAICS listed within CAT B, or only the NAICS code(s) the offeror is utilizing to compete? For example, if an offeror is a SB under one NAICS code listed in CAT B but a Large Business/OTSB for another NAICS within Category B, should the offer indicate "LB" and "SB" even though the offeror is submitting as a Small Business under CAT B?	Yes Exhibit 4 should be filled out fully with all NAICS codes and associated business sizes corresponding to the Offeror's sam.gov listing. If an offeror is submitting as a Small Business, they should indicate in Exhibit 4 any NAICS codes for which they qualify as a large business.
2533	Regarding Past Performance project references, please clarify what NAICS codes offerors are to map the three project references too? Are project references limited to the NAICS codes listed within each respective Category, or can any NAICS code within the NASA SEWP RFP be utilized across Categories?	The NAICS code of a referenced contract or award must relate to the NAICS code being used for competition which is selected at time of proposal from the Section A.1.34. Table.
2544	Will the Government accept CPARS evaluations in lieu of completed PPQs? Many Government customers will not complete a PPQ evaluation if a CPARS has been completed as CPARS is the required past performance reference for the Government.	No.
2546	Are the CPARS/Recent Evaluations for each project reference to be submitted in addition to a completed Exhibit 2 - Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ), or will a completed CPARS for a project reference be acceptable instead of a newly completed Exhibit 2 PPQ?	No. CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
2574	A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, Pg. 107, states "Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation)." Confirming that Offerors should submit their most recent Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPAR).	No, offerors should not submit their CPARS.
2580	A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume, Pg. 110, states "The offeror shall provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance." Can the most recent CPAR be submitted for a Past Performance reference in lieu of Exhibit 2?	No.
2625	pg. 105 A.3.7.2. The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. If the primary contract's NAICS code is not representative of the entire body of work, will the Government accept the offeror assigning a NAICS Code that aligns to the work performed?	Yes.
2634	In reference to Exhibit 2, PPQ form, Will the government please allow all contractors the option to submit CPARS instead of PPQ's for Past Performance?	No.
2641	Re: A.1.34 & A.1.35 Re: AbilityOne contracting and NAICS Codes. The legend clearly indicates NAICS with a single asterisk in A.1.3.4 must have an AbilityOne Subcontracting relationship. The legend in A.1.3.4 for 541519e has only the double asterisks noting SEWP NMR waiver and does not show or indicate a separate single asterisk. Does this mean that for 541519e, we do not need an AbilityOne subcontractor?	Yes.
2659	With regards to the required AbilityOne Commitment Letter, are we committing our company to make an attempt to meet the 2% business goal or are we committing that we will achieve the 2% business goal for services every quarter?	2% is a target goal to be reported annually based on total value of task orders that falls under the designated NAICS codes (marked with an asterisk in A.1.34).

2683	In Section A.3.7.1 on page 98: Must the AbilityOne Commitment Letter due at time of proposal submission identify specific companies, or only plans to work with SourceAmerica to meet the AbilityOne subcontracting goals?	A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative. A Commitment Letter is required at the time of proposal submission. A Formal Agreement identifying the specific AbilityOne Nonprofit(s) being utilized as subcontractor(s) is due upon award.
2696	Will NASA please state whether primes must exclusively use the SourceAmerica pool of NPAs for the AbilityOne requirement?	The Prime Contractor may use any qualified AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency provided by either NIB, SourceAmerica or both. To qualify for subcontracting on the SEWP VI Contract, a nonprofit agency must meet the eligibility criteria of a producing AbilityOne Network Nonprofit Agency in good standing. If it meets the criteria, the NPA must execute an agreement with their respective Central Nonprofit Agency (CNA) (NIB or SourceAmerica) and meet the reporting and other requirements to the CNA.
2732	80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, Page 98 indicates "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, Page 103, For Category B and C, "Only [Relevant Experience] projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted." 80TECH24R0001 SEWP VI Final RFP, Section A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME, Page 105 states: "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Industry Day Presentation, SEWP VI Website Proposal Submission Demo (4 June 2024), indicates only one NAICS Code can be selected with proposal submission. QUESTION: NASA has assigned a single specific NAICS Code for each SEWP VI Category (A, B, and C). However, the referenced Final RFP Section A.1.34 lists numerous NAICS Codes in scope for each category. In regards to Volume I – Offer and Volume II – Past Performance instructions, there is conflicting guidance for relevant experience, REPs, and past performance submissions required based on the singular/plural use of "NAICS Code." The SEWP VI Website Proposal Submission demo provided at Industry Day also created confusion regarding these instructions, with NAICS Code selection seemingly being limited to a single NAICS Code. (a) Can the Government please confirm that Past Performance submissions for Volume II – Past Performance, like the REPs provided in Volume I – Offer, can be related to any of the NAICS Codes for each Category listed on Pages 61-63?  (b) Assuming that any of the in-scope NAICS Codes are applicable to both REPs and Past Performance submissions, can the Government please confirm that these NAICS Codes for both Past Performance Submissions and REPs will represent the initial offerings that will be reflected in the initial Contract Database of Record upon Contract award?	No, the Past Performance references must relate to the NAICS code being used for competition. The Contract Database of Record will be populated with the NAICS code and business sizes provided by the Offeror in Exhibit 4.
2738	The Government provides that, "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." May a past performance with NAICS Code of 541330 (Exception 1, 2, or 3) be utilized for Categories B and C?	Yes, if the past performance relates to the NAICS code being used for competition.
2757	Page 100 of RFQ, states "Provide information addressing all elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in proposal volume)." Where should we include this information within Volume I and is this information excluded in our page count. The table on Page 95/96 does not list or include the FAR 9.104 information in the proposal components. Requesting clarification and additional details on how to address and if the information provided is part of the page count or not.	The information and documentation associated with FAR 9.104 should be provided as part of the information in response to A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME, (a) GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS within as a PDF file within Volume I of the offer.
2760	The RFP prohibits inclusion of embedded PDF images (pg 92) and requires the PDF format in the volumes to be searchable. This requires inserting full PDF pages for things like CPAR reports and small business reports into the volume after the word documents are converted to PDF. These pages will retain their native margins and fonts which will not comply with the proposal margins. Request the government allow offerors to include PDF supplemental documents in their native format.	Supplemental documentation may be provided in their native format. Note that all documentation will be included within the associated page counts based on the minimum font size requirements.

2768	Would the Government please consider adding as in-scope NAICS 541330 Exception 1 (Military and Aerospace Equipment and Military Weapons), Exception 2 (Contracts and Subcontracts for Engineering Services Awarded Under the National Energy Policy Act of 1992) and Exception 3 (Marine Engineering and Naval Architecture), with a \$47M revenue threshold to the Category B: Enterprise-Wide Information Technology, Communication, and Audio Visual (ITC/AV) Solutions AND Category C- Information Technology, Communication and Audio Visual (ITC/AV) Mission Based Services? The RFP as currently drafted effectively EXCLUDES larger small businesses who do not have projects large enough to provide 4 REPS with \$30M but are also not small enough to fit under the very narrow revenue range currently set forth in A.1.34.	No. the stated NAICS coded are not in scope.
2796	Many businesses (small and other than small) have merged or been acquired for the purpose of combining their assets to better serve the full scope Federal contracts like SEWP VI. For Category B Relevant Experience Projects, please allow Other than Small Business Offerors to use Relevant Experience Projects from parent, affiliate, or predecessor companies with a MRCL.	Other than Small Business Offerors may use Relevant Experience Projects from parent, affiliate, or predecessor companies with a MRCL.
2803	The example on page 107 for calculating average annual value works only for cost type contracts. We respectfully recommend using total contract value for the Past Performance value criteria instead of average annual value to more fairly evaluate all contract types.	The solicitation will remain as stated.
2807	Should Offerors complete the Offeror Representations Certifications pages 122-151 of the RFP and submit them within Volume I - Offeror Volume?	Yes, the signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal submitted. The documentation should be submitted in Volume I.
2816	Does the mandatory AbilityOne requirement, for NAICS listed with an asterisk, apply to Set-Aside entities as well?	Yes.
2819	If using a Federal contract as past performance, can offerors submit the most recent CPARS reports in lieu of Exhibit 2?	No.
2836	Section A.3.7.1 states that the AbilityOne Commitment Letter shall identify the POC from SourceAmerica/NIB and identify plans to subcontract with qualified nonprofit agencies for SEWP opportunities within identified NAICS Codes. For the requirement to "identify plans to subcontract" in the AbilityOne Commitment Letter, can the Government clarify what specific criteria or information is required to be included in the Commitment Letter?	A specific Commitment Letter including the required information will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. This letter can be from either NIB, SourceAmerica or both Central Nonprofit Agencies (CNAs).
2837	A.4.5 Prospective Contractor Responsibility: any special standards established for this acquisition under FAR 9.104-2. What are the other special standards for this RFP if any? Not mentioned in the RFP document.	There are no special standards for the RFP.
2841	FAR 52.212-4 CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS (Applicable for Fixed Price Orders): (c) Changes. Changes in the terms and conditions of this contract may be made only by written agreement of the parties. Can we submit exceptions to this terms and conditions, Please confirm.	No.
2880	Are all offerors required to have a Letter of Commitment from AbilityOne if they intend to compete only on TOs with NAICS that do not require AbilityOne?	No.
2907	RFP says, "Provide information addressing all the elements under FAR 9.104 to demonstrate responsibility (address the elements under this section that are not addressed in another proposal volume). Please confirm that the response to this requirement is excluded from page count.	Yes.
2911	"The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016)."  In this context, does "offerings and capabilities" refer to the millions of items (e.g., products and services) available from our catalog, or does it refer to the capabilities (e.g., logistics and project management) that enable us to deliver those offerings?	Offerings and capabilities refer to the Offerors overall general offerings and capabilities, not specific line items, products and/or services.

2917	<p>The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives including information in the following areas"</p> <p>4 (a) should the Offeror explain their offerings and capabilities as it is related to the scope of all eleven technical areas (of the proposed Category B) or only the four content representative areas that the Offeror selected to showcase REPs and past performances in?</p>	Offerings and capabilities refer to the Offerors overall general offerings and capabilities, not specific line items, products and/or services.
2942	<p>The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition.☐</p> <p>Can any NAICS code in Exhibit 4 be considered a code for "competition"?</p>	The NAICS code for competition is selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449. The available NAICS codes to select from are those in Exhibit 4.
2953	The RFP states "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Please confirm that Category B Unrestricted and Category B Small are considered two different categories.	No. Category B Unrestricted and Category B Small are the same Category - Category B.
2974	Section A.3.7.2(b) - pages 109-110. Given the burden on government customers to complete Exhibit 2 PPQs, would the Government accept completed, most recent CPARS in lieu of PPQs?	No.
3036	The referenced paragraph states that each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single, searchable Adobe Portable Document Format 9PDF) file, yet provides examples of file names for individual documents associated with each volume. Is it acceptable for offerors to provide one file for each volume, or does the Government want individual files (examples provided include exhibits and LOAs) as separate files?	Each proposal volume should be submitted as a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file. The examples of file names provided are for organizing the documents within the volume before combining them into a single PDF file
3081	Do we need to submit REP in the given template?	Yes.
3089	For SBA approved Mentor-Protégé JVs, is there a limitation on the number of REPs or Past Performance that can be submitted by the large business mentor to qualify in the small business categories?	The mentor cannot submit REPs or Past Performance references.
3115	If a small business bidder does not plan to bid for services under Product Service Codes (PSC): D321, is it exempt from the requirement to provide an AbilityOne subcontracting plan?	All offerors are required to include AbilityOne subcontracting if they plan to bid under NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk* in the RFP, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance.
3124	[Final RFP, A.3.7.3, page 110] Can small business offerors constrain their response to Mission Suitability to the technical areas reflected in the REPs?	No.
3141	Will the Down-Selects be done on rolling basis (e.g. as the decision is made) or will they be done in batches at the end of each Phase evaluation?	Down Selection will be made at the end of each Phase as a whole and not on a rolling basis.
3143	In an effort to increase small business participation, can a contractor sub to more than one Prime contractor within the same category?	Yes.
3155	Would the contractor extended commercial warranty flow down terms from an OEM be able to be included in as much as they do not conflict with SEWP or Agency supplemental terms and conditions?	No. Terms from the OEM must be submitted and negotiated at the task order level with the Issuing Agency.
3157	In as much as private contractors have signed NDA's and confidentiality agreements with other private sector firms, would section 806 of PL 111-383 supersede such agreements?	NASA will not comment on any NDA or confidentiality agreement to which the Government is not a party. NASA can confirm that the SCRM requirements in the RFP are mandatory.
3161	<p>RFP / A.3.7.1 Bullet #2 and A.3.7.2 Paragraph 1 : Sentence 3</p> <p>"The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition.</p> <p>" Validate that the NAICS code used for competition is the Administrative NAICS for the SEWP Master Contract (e.g., 541519e for Category A)?</p>	The NAICS code for competition is selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449. The available NAICS codes to select from are those in Exhibit 4.
3185	<p>RFP / A.3.7.1 (c ) Offeror NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk (Exhibit 4)</p> <p>The basis for choosing a Contractor Bid NAICS is unclear. The instructions state "A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing..." For Category A, this means any of the NAICS in the Exhibit 4 Category A tab. It is unclear whether there is any value in choosing the smallest size standard NAICS (e.g., 541330 / \$25.5M) for which a contractor still qualifies vs. just choosing the largest one (e.g., 517121 / 1,500 Employees). The effect on the proposal and post-award situation seem to be identical regardless of which one is chosen.</p>	For Category A, The choice of NAICS code affects the business size based past performance requirements as provided in A.3.7.2. The only post award effect is that the selected NAICS code will be the Contract level NAICS code and business size.

3206	RFP Section A.3.7.3., (b) Management Approach (Subfactor B), (4) Program Management. In subsection (i), the Government states: "Strategy for managing Customer requests for (RFI's, RFQ's, etc.) task order proposals, GWAC contract administration, and task order administration to produce timely and quality ICT/AV solutions and to continuously identify, mitigate, manage and control risks. This section shall include a discussion on the staff, resources and processes planned or in place to handle requirements that can be expected to be more than 100 requirements in a day for Category A and ten requirements in a day for Category B and C." Request the Government clarifies if bidders are supposed to respond to GWAC Management, Task Order Management or both.	Both. Contract Holders need to manage both the overall GWAC aspect of holding a SEWP contract and manage the task orders created under their SEWP contract.
3235	1.A.1.35 states "The contractor shall identify in the Ability One Commitment letter the communication with SourceAmerica/NIB to identify qualified nonprofit agencies and use subcontracting opportunities for SEWP with qualified AbilityOne nonprofit agencies. To assist contractors in finding qualified AbilityOne nonprofits, contact: primecontractor@abilityone.org." Are only those NPAs provided by SourceAmerica from the pool the only NPAs that a prime contractor can use to fulfill the requirement for the AbilityOne subcontracting?	The Prime Contractor may use any qualified AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency provided by either NIB, SourceAmerica or both. To qualify for subcontracting on the SEWP VI Contract, a nonprofit agency must meet the eligibility criteria of a producing AbilityOne Network Nonprofit Agency in good standing. If it meets the criteria, the NPA must execute an agreement with their respective Central Nonprofit Agency (CNA) (NIB or SourceAmerica) and meet the reporting and other requirements to the CNA.
3241	RFP Ref: A.3.7.2, Page 106, RFP Text - A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years. Question: Is a Meaningful Relationship Letter required of the SMALL Business Prime Offeror in order to utilize Past Performance from any or all of its "no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor?"	Yes.
3242	A.1.35 states "Contract holders shall allocate a target goal of at least 2% of the overall contract value to AbilityOne subcontractors. If Ability One subcontractor is unable to perform the work, the contractor may utilize their own subcontractors or perform the work as the prime." Will NASA please clarify if the 2% is a target goal or a minimum mandatory requirement?	The 2% goal is not a minimum mandatory requirement. It is a target goal.
3244	In a sample of the SourceAmerica commitment letter dated June 4, 2024, it states "SourceAmerica and Prime Contractor agree that with respect to the NASA SEWP VI project: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>SourceAmerica will provide the Prime Contractor with qualified AbilityOne NPA subcontractor(s) to satisfy the mandatory requirement under the NASA SEWP VI program that the Prime Contractor will utilize AbilityOne NPAs as Subcontractors for the Product Service Codes and NAICS Codes designated under NASA SEWP VI as a mandatory requirement to utilize AbilityOne nonprofit organizations as Subcontractors." Will NASA please clarify if only NPAs provided by SourceAmerica are the only NPAs that the prime can use as a subcontractor? Are we to interpret that SourceAmerica will provide prime contractors with the only qualified NPAs?</li> </ul>	The Prime Contractor may use any qualified AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency provided by either NIB, SourceAmerica or both. To qualify for subcontracting on the SEWP VI Contract, a nonprofit agency must meet the eligibility criteria of a producing AbilityOne Network Nonprofit Agency in good standing. If it meets the criteria, the NPA must execute an agreement with their respective Central Nonprofit Agency (CNA) (NIB or SourceAmerica) and meet the reporting and other requirements to the CNA.
3249	We understand that only NPAs on the SourceAmerica pool list must pay the 3.75% fee on gross sales. NPAs subcontracting that are not on the SourceAmerica pool list are not required to pay the 3.75% fee. Will NASA please confirm if this is correct?	This statement is not correct. Any NPA subcontracting under SEWP VI is subject to the 3.75% fee on gross sales, regardless of whether they are on the SourceAmerica or NIB participating NPAs list. The NPA must execute an agreement with their respective CNA and meet the reporting and fee requirements to the CNA.
3300	Should we complete Exhibit 2 if we have CPARS available? Or is only CPARS sufficient?	No. CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
3301	RFP Document - Exhibit 3b- Category B Solutions Spreadsheet Exhibit 4 NAICS Size Standard Crosswalk; Section Reference - A.3.6(A)(B)(2); Page Number(s) - 96; Language Cited - When page limitations apply to a volume or specific section, a page is defined as one side of a sheet, 8-1/2" x 11 ", with at least one inch margins on all sides... The excel exhibits provided are formatted using 9-12-point type Times New Roman font.; Question - The Excel spreadsheet templates use a mix of Times New Roman and Calibri, as well as one-inch margins. Would the Government please confirm the Offeror can use the format in the templates as provided? Roman font.	Yes.

3310	Section A.3.6(b) Proposal Format - If the JV entity itself does not have a CAGE code or DUNS number, can it use the CAGE/DUNS of the lead JV member for identification purposes?	<p>☑ proposing a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) to satisfy the requirements of this contract, a copy of the agreement must be provided and be in accordance with FAR 9.6. The CTA shall include the names of the team members and a description of the responsibilities of each team member. An Offeror may submit a proposal under an existing CTA with a prime/subcontractor relationship or Joint Venture only if the existing Joint Venture or prime has a corresponding UEI Number in <a href="https://www.sam.gov">https://www.sam.gov</a> and all the proposal submission documents are in the name of the existing Joint Venture or prime. Joint Ventures without a corresponding UEI Number in <a href="https://www.sam.gov">https://www.sam.gov</a> will not be evaluated or considered for award.</p>
3318	Besides requiring an additional Mandatory Technical Area, does the Government have any guidance on what information should be included in the REPs that differs from the past performance examples?	As stated in Exhibit 1: "Provide a clear and concise description of the IT service as it relates to the Mandatory Experience Technical Area."
3477	Is the Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form included in the 15 page limitation?	No.
3478	In page 10 of Section A.7.2(b), it states that customers need to submit past performance questionnaires to the Contracting Officer no later than proposal submission date. If a CPAR is available for the referenced contract, may the offeror provide the most recent CPAR in our proposal in lieu of having the customer submit a past performance questionnaire directly to the Contracting Officer?	No.
3480	A.1.51 lists minimum questions. If a bidder offers services vice selling products, is it acceptable to state that a question is not relevant to their business (i.e. question 1 talks about weather impacts whereas most companies now have remote practices in place in times of inclement weather so would that question need to be addressed?)	A.1.51. is a post award document and should not be submitted with the proposal. The SEWP Program Office will assist Contract Holders post award.
3519	What pricing information needs to be provided in SF1149 boxes 19-24? Should this information mirror what is provided in the Solutions Spreadsheet attachments? If so, due to the vast amount of data we plan to provide in the Solutions Spreadsheet, can we just make reference to the attachment in this section/	The pricing information provided in SF1449 boxes 19-24 should be left blank except for Box 20 which should reference the Category; e.g. "SEWP VI Contract - Category A".
3534	Can the government confirm for NAICS code 541330 if the Military and Aerospace Equipment exception (\$47M) standard will be used, or is the standard to be \$25.5M?	The exception is not in scope and therefore the standard is for NAICS code 541330 of \$25.5M.
3612	On page 106 is the Government saying that a contract vehicle that is an IDIQ/BPA Government Wide Acquisition Contract is not appropriate as a past performance reference itself, but a Task Order issued under a contract vehicle that is an IDIQ/BPA Government Wide Acquisition Contract is acceptable?	Yes.
3635	On page 102 the prices on Exhibit 3a are "not to be inclusive of shipping costs or payment methods", but are the prices supposed to be inclusive of "sales tax" and/or "transaction privilege taxes" which a small business will have to pay on many orders do to aggressive local and/or State enforcement actions on sales to Federal Government customers?	No. See Section A.1.23.1. Specialized Contract Line-Item Numbers for use of the Governmental-Z CLIN for the quoting of sales tax and other government imposed fees.
3644	Should Block 10 be denoted as a 100% Small Business set aside for Category C in the document titled "80TECH24R0001 SF1449_Category C?"	Yes.
3685	A.3.7.3 (a), page 110-111. Section A.3.7.3 (a) TECHNICAL APPROACH (SUBFACTOR A) For All Categories states that the Offeror should provide a summary of offerings and capabilities "as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives". Please clarify which four Acquisition Objectives are to be addressed. Are these in addition to areas listed in Section A.3.7.3 (a)(1), Section A.3.7.3 (a)(2), and Section A.3.7.3 (a)(3)? Or is the fourth item omitted from the list?	Amendment 8 clarified that the four Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
3701	Question: Due to the burden on customers and the contract thresholds limiting PPQ responses, will the Government consider exempting a program from completing a PPQ if the contract is in the CPARS database?	No.
3714	SEWP VI RFP - Section III Instructions to Offerors, Vol I - Offeror Volume, Exhibit 1, page 103 - For ease of use, may offerors transfer the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table on to their templates maintaining the same format as provided by the Government or must we use the provided PDF file?	No. Exhibit 1 has been updated to a PDF file.

3733	A.3.6(B)(2): We recommend NASA allow Offerors to reduce the font size requirement for text in diagrams, schedules, charts, tables, artwork, and photographs to no less than 8 pt font size.	The solicitation will remain as stated as no less than 10 point font.
3756	Does the requirement to provide "relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition" mean relevant exp. as related to the administrative NAICS code?	"NAICS code being used for competition" refers to the NAICS code and business size selected by the Offeror at the time of submission as their Proposal level NAICS code and as entered in the SF1449.
3760	With thousands of anticipated SEWP VI proposals, a PPQ requirement is an unnecessary burden on our customers. If a CPARS has been issued within the year, please accept in place of a PPQ.	No. CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
3777	Is the offeror evaluated at a lower level of confidence for mission suitability if a subcontractor is proposed compared to if the offeror were bidding independently with the exact scope of capabilities?	No.
3801	For the Relevant Experience Projects, for clarification if we are currently under the total contract value threshold but know we will achieve it by end of calendar year for ongoing work, is it acceptable to include that project as a qualified REP? (e.g. small business with total revenues at \$1.8Million through May 2024 but know we will be above \$2Million in revenues on the project by end of this year)	No.
3815	A.3.7.1(b) Category A - Letter of Authorization: Are LOAs needed from the OEM of the solution, or the supplier the solution is being sourced from (if they are different)?	Whether the products from the 4 designated providers are sourced directly, through a distributor or through a partner the designated provider LOAs must originate from the OEM.
3819	A.3.7.2(c) Independent Past Performance Information (RFP pg. 110): This paragraph states that NASA may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g. CPARS). Can NASA confirm that NASA will accept CPARS reports in lieu of the Past Performance Questionnaires?	No.
3847	A.3.6(B)(7): Regarding an SBA-approved mentor protégé, can Offerors use the same language/response for three (3) submissions? For example: 1. Mentor submits as Unrestricted business 2. JV submits as Small Business 3. Protégé submits as Small Business	No. Only one proposal per scope category will be accepted per offeror. An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) in that same category. For example, it is permissible for XYZ, Corp to propose as a prime contractor in Category A, and form a JV with 123, LLC to propose in category A. This example applies to all categories as well as CTAs.
3849	page 111 Under Technical Approach (Subfactor A) for all categories the RFP directs that "the offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives including information in the following areas" section A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016) does not have four Acquisition Objectives under it. Please clarify if it should read A.1 vice A.1.2..	Yes. This has been updated in Amendment 8.
3860	If a company maintains a CPARS for a past performance reference presented in this volume, may we submit the CPARS in lieu of the Exhibit 2 Past Performance Questionnaires.	No.
3881	For Categories B and C - When the Government references "Different mandatory experience technical areas," does different mean among only those areas under each category, or different for each REP?	The section means each submitted REP must be a reference to a different Technical Area.
3885	Paragraph A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume states "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition. Does this mean, for example, that in Category B, all contracts cited for past performance must be under NAICS 541512 as described on RFP Page 32 "Category B- Enterprise-wide ITC/AV Service Solutions – NAICS 651512"? If this is not the case, please clarify which NAICS the cited contracts must fall under to be used in this solicitation.	"NAICS code being used for competition" refers to the NAICS code and business size selected by the Offeror at the time of submission as their Proposal level NAICS code and as entered in the SF1449.
3891	Does this apply to Category A. we are a authorized AbilityOne reseller. do we still need a separate letter	Yes.
3900	On page 111, Section A.3.7.3 (a) It states, "The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives including information in the following areas:" Only 3 areas are listed. Is the 4 a typo or is there a 4th area missing?	Amendment 8 clarified that the four Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
3922	Since commercial or state/local contract PP is allowed, are distributors that hold these type of contracts also able to bid SEWP-VI? At least (4) of the (5) main distributors in the channel have these types of contracts.	Yes.

3929	<p>The RFP requires all businesses (small and large) to obtain commitment letters from Ability One non-profit organizations (Section A.1.35). Section A.1.34 identifies specific asterisk NAICS Codes that require the use of Ability One as subcontractors in all Categories, A, B and C. Section A.1.35 identifies Product Service Codes reserved for Ability One, but it does not crosswalk the PSCs against the asterisk NAICS Codes and Categories in the section before. In addition, Section A.1.34 is unclear the requirement to obtain a commitment letter Source America or NIB and/or both. Further, Attachment H referenced in Section A.1.35 was not included in the RFP release. Source America's commitment letter is unclear as well as A.1.34 (3rd paragraph) with the following statement: "Contract holders shall allocate a target goal of at least 2% of the overall contract value to AbilityOne subcontractors." It is unclear if 2% is measured or evaluated against the contract holder's IDIQ ceiling contract award amount over the life of the contract or at the individual task order level. The same paragraph requires the contractor to submit a quarterly report, but it is not referenced or included in Attachment D or in Section A.5 of the RFP. The RFP is silent on how the SEWP PMO will evaluate contractors over this commitment target goal. There is no differentiation between small and large businesses. The 2% target goal is being applied regardless of the size status of small businesses. Same paragraph states upon award, the SEWP contract holder shall have a formal agreement with AbilityOne NPAs, but the formal agreement was not provided with the RFP so all offerors can understand the terms and conditions. Finally, FAR 8.607 stipulates "Agencies shall not mandate a contractor to use Ability One as a subcontractor." Please clarify if NASA was granted a FAR waiver or deviation.</p>	FAR 8.607 is not applicable to AbilityOne.
3945	<p>RFP Language: (b) PRIOR CUSTOMER EVALUATIONS (PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRES) The offeror shall provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance.</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please confirm if Exhibit 2 is required for all projects referenced in the Past Performance Volume regardless of whether recent CPARS are available?</p>	Yes.
3967	<p>RFP Section: Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form</p> <p>Question: Will the Government please verify that Exhibit 5 is NOT part of the page limitation?</p>	Yes, Exhibit 5 is excluded from the page count.
4007	<p>If we don't have relevance to some of the technical areas through our projects/past performance do we still need to provide response to those technical areas.</p>	Amendment 8 updated the instructions for the Technical Approach to clarify it is based on the offeror's general technical capabilities with regard to the SEWP scope and Acquisition Objectives and not on the sample Technical Areas.
4010	<p>Can we use CPARS instead of PPOs?</p>	No.
4115	<p>Attachment C - CHUM Page 46 Please confirm that Attachment -C (Contract Holder User Manual) is primary focused on CAT A awardee compliance.</p> <p>Does the Subcontracting Plan template on pages 46-57 comprise the desired format and content for CAT B and CAT C offerors?</p> <p>If so, should AbilityOne non-profits be added as a subcontracting category? "</p>	Attachment C is applicable to all SEWP contract holders. The Subcontracting Plan Template is a sample template that can be used when submitting the Cat B subcontracting plan for OTSBs (Cat C is small business only and therefore there is no required plan). Reporting of AbilityOne subcontracting is not done through the subcontracting plan.
4136	<p>A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME, page 105 states "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Does this mean that each submitted past performance must have one of the SEWP VI in-scope NAICS codes listed in Section A.1.34 and in the appropriate category? If so, should Offerors include the NAICS code for each Project?</p>	No. The submitted past performance must be related to the NAICS code being used for competition at the master contract level
4151	<p>The second bullet in A.3.7.1 on page 98 references "relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."</p> <p>1) Is this applicable to all Categories or just Category B and C Mandatory Experience?</p> <p>2) Does this apply to NAICS 541519e and 541512 or any NAICS in the respective Category table.</p>	This is applicable to all three Categories. The NAICS code for competition is selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449. The available NAICS codes to select from are those in Exhibit 4.
4172	<p>Is the "NAICS code used for competition" 541519e, 541512, or any NAICS specified for NASA SEWP VI Task Orders?</p>	The NAICS code for competition is selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449. The available NAICS codes to select from are those in Exhibit 4.

4175	Do we need to list or present any information on any second-tier subcontractors?	No.
4181	Do we need a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter as a first-tier subcontractor to a Prime vendor if we have an active contractor teaming agreement?	No.
4184	The instructions for Exhibit 4 state that each Offeror "must complete Exhibit 4 reflecting their Size Standard(s) for each NAICS within the category. . . . For nonapplicable NAICS codes, please enter "NA" in the cell." If an Offeror responds NA to any NAICS, will the Offeror be excluded from certain Task Order / Delivery Order RFQs? Does NA mean that the corresponding NAICS is not reported in SAM.gov? If NA is indicated for AbilityOne NAICS Codes does that eliminate the requirement for a commitment letter?	If a NAICS code does not apply for an Offeror, then they will not be eligible for RFQs under that NAICS code.
4195	Can we participate in this RFP with no government Past experience only with commercial experiences.	Yes.
4196	Can we get Ability One authorization letter having only commercial experiences	A specific Commitment Letter will be provided to all Prime Contractors when requested at primecontractor@abilityone.org. The Prime Contractor must execute the letter with either SourceAmerica or NIB and include with their proposal submission. This letter must be signed by the designated representative of SourceAmerica or NIB and the Prime Contractor's authorized representative. A Commitment Letter is required at the time of proposal submission.
4198	Can a vendor participate in this RFP having experience as subcontractor of Prime contractor.	Yes.
4200	A.3.7.2(12) Past Performance History..If an Offeror has no past experience that meets the relevancy requirements, is the Offeror required to submit a past performance matrix?	No.
4201	A.3.7.2(c), Page 110, Independent Past Performance Information - If an offeror has no relevant past performance example, will NASA require independent past performance information. Please clarify the information required to respond to this portion of the RFP.	The Offeror does not submit independent past performance information.
4203	A.3.7.2(a) This section states that an Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition. However, Section A.4.3 states on page 117 that Offerors who do not have a record of past performance will receive a Neutral rating (and not be removed from the competition). Will Offerors (i.e. New Small Business Startups) without past experience receive a neutral rating in Phase 2 and then still be included in the Phase 3 evaluation?	Only Offerors with Past Performance rating of Satisfactory Level of Confidence or Neutral will have their proposal proceed to Phase 3 of evaluations.
4209	A.3.7.2 This section states "An Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition." Conflicts with the evaluation that Pg 117 " Offeror shall not be rated favorably or unfavorably if the offeror does not have a record of "recent" and "relevant" past performance or if a record of past performance is unavailable. In such cases, the offeror will receive a "Neutral" rating." Please confirm that an offeror with no past performance will receive a neutral rating and pass phase 2 evaluation.	Yes.
4215	Pg 104 Category C: The instructions state "A total of 2 different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Does each REP have to be mapped with only one (1) mandatory experience sub area out of the 10 sub-areas to qualify as relevant experience?	Yes.
4233	If the vendor proposing for CAT A has worked as Value Added Reseller (VAR) for a provider which is not listed in SEWP Enclosure, can the vendor submit that provider's experience?	No.

4236	<p>In section A.3.7.2, the solicitation states, "For Small Businesses proposing in Categories C- the past performance provided shall be for similar scope efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$500,000 (Five Hundred Thousand) for size to be rated relevant (pertinent)." The methodology for calculating the average annual cost/fee incurred includes going back to the project's inception and using the number of months of performance from the date to the current date. The sample calculation from the solicitation includes, "Average Annual Cost/Fee Incurred to Date: \$18,669,528 (\$43,500,000/2.33 years); Current Contract Expenditures incurred to Date: \$43,500,000; Date in which Expenditures have been incurred through: Insert Date of cost report that indicated cost/fee total of \$43,500,000 after 2 years and 4 months of performance; Average Annual Cost/Fee Incurred to Date: \$18,669,528 (\$43,500,000/2.33 years).</p> <p>As a small business, we have multiple contracts that started very small (1 FTE or fewer) that have grown significantly over the life of the contract through strong performance and scope expansion. The current year's annual value of these contracts far exceeds the \$500,000 minimum annual requirement and the total contract values are several million dollars each (and exceed the \$2M and \$4M total contract value required by REPs). But, because of the volume of months where performance was under \$500,000, the average annual value since inception remains under \$500,000. Will the government amend the requirements to ensure that the CURRENT year's value is greater than \$500,000 to enable companies to leverage high performing and growing contracts as past performance?</p>	No.
4238	<p>A.3.6.(B): Volume III provides instructions for 2 sub-volumes III-A and III-B. Each sub-volume includes its own Cover Page and Indices. Will the Government confirm whether Volume III should be delivered as two separate files (III-A and III-B) or aggregated and delivered as one single file (III)?</p>	Volume III should be delivered as two separate files (III-A and III-B).
4252	<p>Page 106, doc RFP A.3.7.2 An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level for a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple awards or GWAC as an individual past performance reference." Please provide clarification on the use of the word "single past performance" to mean for example, only one of three references provided can be a Single Award IDIQ/BPA. If the answer is yes, is the Government considering this as a "collection of task orders"? If the Gov't will accept a collection of task orders that meet all other requirements, can the Offeror combine the Average annual Cost/fee incurred of all Task Orders?</p>	No,
4254	<p>Page 106 Document RFP A.3.7.2 An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level for a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit a multiple awards or GWAC as an individual past performance reference." Please provide clarification on the use of the word "single past performance" to mean for example, only one of three references provided can be a Single Award IDIQ/BPA. If the answer is yes, is the Government considering this as a "collection of task orders"? If the Gov't will accept a collection of task orders that meet all other requirements, can the Offeror combine the Average annual Cost/fee incurred of all Task Orders?</p>	No,
4256	<p>Page 110 Document RFP A.3.7.2(b) Provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance." If the Offeror has a recent (within the past year) CPARS, will the Gov't accept that instead of a PPQ (Exhibit 2)?</p>	No,
4270	<p>How does the Govt evaluate Mission Suitability High Confidence in both subfactors</p>	Section A.4.4 Phase Three- Mission Suitability describes the evaluation process.
4313	<p>Section A.2.1, p. 88, and Section A.3.7.1, p. 98 - Will the Government be providing Attachment I for Offerors to use for submitting the subcontracting plan with the proposal?</p>	No, a template will not be provided. See FAR 52.219-9(g) for guidance specific to commercial subcontracting plans, and 52.219-9(d) for guidance for all subcontracting plans.
4338	<p>Will the Government please allow duplicative, or at least very similar content, within the Technical Approach for JV submissions as we are allowed with other proposal sections?</p>	The restriction regarding duplicative proposals is in reference to narrative parts of the proposal that are extensively duplicates of each other with no business connectivity between the two offerors.
4389	<p>Providing Past Performance references showcasing a minimum of 3 Content Representative Areas to the governments satisfaction is considered Moderate Level of Confidence. Will the Government's Confidence level rise to High and Very High dependent on showcasing a higher percentage of the 10 Content Representative Areas to the governments satisfaction?</p>	The solicitation was updated to remove references to Level of Confidence beyond Satisfactory.

4391	Page 103, under Section A.3.7.1, states for Category B OTSBs, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$30M in total value size of a single order or contract". QUESTION: Can the Government please confirm that an Offeror can use a single award BPA (as is acceptable for past performance per page 106) to meet the \$30M threshold? A single award BPA is a single contract, and this will be important for medium-sized OTSBs to enable them to qualify for award, providing NASA with the best chance for a qualified cross-section of potential vendors.	A single award BPA can be used as a single contract, and the total contract value for that BPA may be used.
4396	Page 103, under Section A.3.7.1, states for Category B SBs, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$5M in total value size of a single order or contract". QUESTION: Can the Government please confirm that an Offeror can use a single award BPA (as is acceptable for past performance per page 106) to meet the \$5M threshold. A single award BPA is a single contract, and this will be important for some small businesses to enable them to qualify for award, providing NASA with the best chance for a qualified cross-section of potential vendors.	A single award BPA can be used as a single contract, and the total contract value for that BPA may be used.
4401	Page 104, under Section A.3.7.1, states for Category C, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract". QUESTION: Can the Government please confirm that an Offeror can use a single award BPA (as is acceptable for past performance per page 106) to meet the \$2M threshold. A single award BPA is a single contract, and this will be important for some small businesses to enable them to qualify for award, providing NASA with the best chance for a qualified cross-section of potential vendors.	A single award BPA can be used as a single contract, and the total contract value for that BPA may be used.
4402	Page 110, under Section A.3.7.2, indicates that NASA will consider CPARS evaluations but does not explicitly state that CPARS may be used in lieu of submitting PPQs. We strongly recommend that NASA enable vendors to use CPARS, rather than PPQs, as the time and effort of filling out and submitting the PPQ forms are an undue burden on our valued government customers. It is common practice to use CPARS in lieu of PPQs for this reason, and this still provides NASA with more than sufficient information to evaluate offers.	No. CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
4409	For Ex 3b - Category B Solutions Spreadsheet, for proposing services, how many contract years should be priced on the Solutions Spreadsheet?	Amendment 8 removed Exhibit 3b from the solicitation.
4422	Pages 117-118, under Section A.4.3, states "The following confidence guidelines will be used when subjectively assessing both components: Satisfactory Level of Confidence; ...Level of No Confidence; [and] ...Neutral." However, Exhibit 2 (the PPQ) provides an alternate performance rating scale: "Very High (VH), High (H), Moderate (M), Low (L), Very Low (VL), N/R." QUESTION: Can the Government please clarify its intended process to translate assessments of the Exhibit 2 performance ratings' six-point scale to the RFP's Section A.4.3 three-point scale to score Offerors' Past Performance?	The solicitation was updated to remove references to Level of Confidence beyond Satisfactory.
4442	"The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."  Would NASA please confirm the past performance may be aligned with any NAICS code identified by the offeror for competition within Exhibit 4 NAICS Crosswalk?	No. The submissions must relate to the single NAICS code used for competition.
4443	"The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition."  Would NASA confirm past performance submissions must align with the administrative NAICS code identified (i.e., NAICS 541519 footnote 18 for Category A; NAICS 541512 for Categories B & C)?	No. The submissions must relate to the single NAICS code used for competition.
4469	Are all CLINS required to have TAA, Section 508 AND EPEAT, if they are all applicable? The RFP says and/or, please clarify.	No. post-award, utilizing the Technology Refreshment Process, all CLINs should note whether they comply, do not comply or are not applicable. Compliance is not required at the contract level but may be required at a task order level.

4477	In reference to RFP page 61 Section A.1.34 (para 5), RFP page 105(c), and RFP page 105 A.3.7.2. A.1.34 states, "The scope of the order is not restricted to NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C." In addition, page 105 (c) states, "A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services." Regarding past performance submissions, A.3.7.2 states, "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Please confirm that for Category C, past performances used for Volume II requirements do not have to be limited to NAICS 541512 but rather any of the NAICS for this category (RFP page 63, Category C NAICS table).	The NAICS code for competition is selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449. The available NAICS codes to select from are those in Exhibit 4.
4487	What are the "four Acquisition Objectives" referenced in instructions for the Technical Approach?	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
4506	At the bottom of page 103, Category B, RFP states "A total of four different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted". If a single REP covers more than one Mandatory Experience Technical Area, is it acceptable to provide details on each relevant area in the form?	No.
4557	In Final RFP Page No. 110 - Please clarify if CPARS can be used instead of PPQs for past performance projects as specified in the final RFP section A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume - (C) Independent Past Performance Information.	No. CPARS cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
4561	Can the government please put some clarity on evaluating the Mission Suitability Volume?	Section A.4.4 Phase Three- Mission Suitability describes the evaluation process. Amendment 8 and 10 updated both A.3 and A.4.
4567	Will NASA accept past performance feedback or evaluations from sources other than the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) or Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)?	No. The Government will only accept the PPQs as outlined in the solicitation.
4578	Could the government confirm whether the offeror's past performances should correspond with the NAICS codes specified in section A.1.34?	The Offeror's past performance should relate to the NAICS code for competition as selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449.
4599	A.3.7.3(b)(1)v - Please confirm that Exhibit 5 and/or O-TTPS certification do NOT count against the 15-page limit for Subfactor B?	Confirmed.
4609	How will the Government verify NAICS code information for commercial or first-tier subcontract relevant experience projects? May offers submit an attachment outside of page count containing the subcontract if it includes the contract's NAICS code for validation?	Offerors should include information within the 10 page limit that explains how the work performed relates to the NAICS code used to compete
4616	In the SEWP VI proposal submission portal, there is a drop-down menu to select a NAICS code. Does the NAICS code covered by Relevant Experience Projects in Volume I and/or Past Performance references in Volume II have to match this?	Yes.
4626	Please confirm that a Past Performance reference will be considered Relevant if the contract's award form has any of the NAICS codes listed in A.1.34 for the proposed Category?	No. The Offeror's past performance should relate to the NAICS code for competition as selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449.
4635	How will the Government treat Past Performance reference dollar values for a contract whose period of performance is more than 6 months, but less than 12 months?  For example, if a contract has executed \$400k over 7 months, will the Government pro-rate the amount to reflect \$686k for a full year of performance (i.e. divide \$400k by 7 months and then multiply by 12)?	In accordance with A.3.7.2 (a)(4): The current contract expenditures incurred to date, the date in which the expenditures have been incurred through, and the Average Annual Value to Date. For example (note, these example numbers are not related to this specific procurement):  A current five-year contract that you are performing has a total estimated value of \$100,000,000. As of the latest cost report which reflected cost/fee through the first 2 years and 4 months of performance, the total amount of cost/fee incurred by the Offeror over the duration of the contract was \$43,500,000.  In this example, an Offeror would provide the following:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current Contract Expenditures incurred to Date: \$43,500,000</li> <li>• Date in which Expenditures have been incurred through: Insert Date of cost report that indicated cost/fee total of \$43,500,000 after 2 years and 4 months of performance.</li> <li>• Average Annual Cost/Fee Incurred to Date: \$18,669,528 (\$43,500,000/2.33 years)</li> </ul>
4648	In lieu of the prior customer evaluations (PPQ), may the offeror submit current CPARS?	No.

4652	If a proposal is submitted by a JV, please clarify which proposal items must be submitted by all members of the JV? For example, must all JV members submit the required fill-ins in the RFP clauses, provisions, and attachments?	The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions/representations and certifications, and attachments. An Offeror's Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code in SAM.gov shall match the Offeror's name on the SF1449. The signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal submitted. Failure to provide a signed SF 1449 and acknowledgement of all subsequent solicitation amendments will result in the Offeror being eliminated from competition.
4665	For a proposal submission from a JV, does the AbilityOne Commitment Letter need to be in the name of the JV or is it acceptable to have a letter in the name of one (or both) of the member companies of the JV?	Please contact: <a href="mailto:primecontractor@abilityone.org">primecontractor@abilityone.org</a> for AbilityOne Commitment Letter information
4671	In Section A.3.6 Section (B) (7) the government states "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience." Can the government please confirm if an offeror proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same technical approach? For example, if Company A is submitting in Category B unrestricted as an Other than Small Business, and is a member of an 8a JV pursuing categories B and C as a small business, would the three submitted proposals require one, two, or three unique tech approaches?	Yes, an offeror proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same technical approach
4677	In Section A.3.6 Section (B) (7) the government states "Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience." Can the government please confirm if an offeror proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same technical approach? For example, if Company A is submitting in Category B unrestricted as an Other than Small Business, and is a member of an 8a JV pursuing categories B and C as a small business, would the three submitted proposals require one, two, or three unique tech approaches?	Yes, an offeror proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same technical approach
4682	It states "The Government will not accept alternate forms for the past performance questionnaire." Would the Government consider accepting CPARS in lieu of PPQs for those projects that have official CPARS submitted?	No.
4697	Does the Government desire that all offerors would incorporate comments about how they intend to utilize AbilityOne providers in the mandatory service areas?	No. There is no requirement for offerors to incorporate comments on how they intend to utilize AbilityOne providers.
4706	Recommend the Government permit CPARS in lieu of PPQs. Government POCs have already evaluated the contract in critical performance areas via CPARS and this limits the number of demands that will come to them via numerous bidders on SEWP and other active RFPs.	No.
4707	Are the past performance contract references outlined in Section A.3.7.2 required to have been performed under the NAICS code of the SEWP VI solicitation, 541512, or could they have been performed under any of the NAICS codes listed in the tables found in Section A.1.34 of the RFP?	The Offeror's past performance should relate to the NAICS code for competition as selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449.
4718	Could the Government please clarify the term "qualified nonprofit agencies" (A.3.7.1).	Qualified nonprofit agencies meet the requirements of the AbilityOne Program.
4724	Are the past performance contract references outlined in Section A.3.7.2 required to have been performed under any of the NAICS codes listed in the tables found in Section A.1.34 of the RFP? Or, can they be under any NAICS?	The Offeror's past performance should relate to the NAICS code for competition as selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449.
4732	Does Contract value imply 'Total Contract Value or total obligated value?	Total contract value awarded.
4750	The RFP states "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." Does this apply to offerors who are bidding as a Prime in one category, and then will also be subcontractors to a different company in that same category? For example, if Company "Z" plans to submit a Prime bid in Category A, can they also be a subcontractor on Company "X"'s bid and then also join as a subcontractor on Company "Y"'s bid in that same Category?	Yes, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan history is excluded from the page limitations in Volume II.
4758	Our company filed its application for WOSB certification with SBA more than six months ago. Though we are still awaiting approval, can we compete as WOSB for SEWP VI and submit our certification upon receipt?	No.

4770	Since the purpose of CPARS is to provide a rating of a vendor's service on a Federal contract, will SEWP allow a bidder to provide CPARS if they are available for a contract? This may reduce the burden on the SEWP staff and SEWP customer staff.	No.
4783	Page 103, Offer Volume, Section A.3.7.1, Exhibit 3 is required in Volume I- Offeror Volume. Can the government clarify whether Exhibit 3 should be submitted as a separate file, or part of the volume?	Exhibit 3 should be submitted as a separate file.
4806	Category B, Page 103, For Small Businesses, the Government states "A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Is the Government's expectation that offerors submit REP A covering only one mandatory experience area, REP B covering a different mandatory experience area, REP C covering an additional mandatory experience area, OR that REP A covers multiple, different mandatory experience areas, REP B covers multiple different mandatory experience areas and REP C covers multiple mandatory experience areas but they are allowed to have overlap across mandatory experience areas?	Offerors must submit REP A covering only one mandatory experience area, REP B covering a different mandatory experience area, REP C covering an additional mandatory experience area.
4906	Can the Government confirm that Offerors may submit CPARS in lieu of past performance questionnaires (PPQs) if they are available?	No.
4939	Will NASA consider allowing exceptions to customer signature for federal past performance performed as a subcontractor if the prime refuses to sign the PPQ because it would present competition to them/their team?	No.
4962	In Section A.3.7.2 (b) the Government states that the offeror shall provide Exhibit 2 PPQ's and in Section A.3.7.2 (c) of the RFP the government states that CPARS are acceptable in assessing the Past Performance. Will the Government please clarify if the offeror can use CPARS instead of PPQ's for all Past Performances?	No.
4964	Will the government accept and evaluate relevant CPARS and FPDS contract information instead of the Past Performance Questionnaire?	No.
4968	Several NAICS codes listed for each category have exceptions with different sizes, e.g. 541330. Would NASA consider allowing all exceptions or publishing which exceptions are allowed disallowed?	The allowed NAICS code exceptions are listed in Exhibit 4.
5015	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME; (b) Mandatory Experience/ Offerings; A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME; A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE. RFP states on pg. 98 "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Pg. 103 "Only projects with NAICS codes listed as in-scope for SEWP VI are to be submitted." Pg. 105 "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Will the Government confirm that a Small Business submitting a proposal for Category C can submit REPs and Past Performance with any of the NAICS codes listed in the Category C table under Section A.1.34 NORTH AMERICAN INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (NAICS) & NAICS CODES WITHIN SCOPE.	The Offeror's past performance should relate to the NAICS code for competition as selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449.
5078	If the bidder is using a single award BPA as a past performance, are we restricted to using a single call order under the BPA or can we use all the call orders since it is a single award?	Yes, you can use all of the call orders to calculate average annual cost/fee incurred.
5117	Should our Past Performances align with the NAICS Codes in section A.1.34?	The Offeror's past performance should relate to the NAICS code for competition as selected by the Offeror at the time of the proposal submission and as indicated in their SF 1449.
5145	Page 63 under A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontract states within the NAICS with * indicators have a 2% allocated goal to use AbilityOne as subcontractors. Does this requirement fall within the areas set aside for small business as well? Since AbilityOne organizations are not considered small businesses how should the ratio of work be viewed for purposes of retaining small business status, specifically if the AbilityOne subcontractor is doing more than 51% of the work?	The 2% AbilityOne target goal is applicable to the NAICS Codes listed in A.1.34, NAICS & NAICS Codes Within Scope, and the PSCs specified in Section A.1.35, AbilityOne Subcontracting. In addition, any work performed with an AbilityOne subcontractor outside of the designated NAICS and PSC codes will also count toward the 2% AbilityOne target goal and be included in the Prime Contractors reporting to NASA and AbilityOne. A.1.34 NAICS&NAICS Codes within Scope and A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting: This requirement is separate from small business set-aside goals. While AbilityOne organizations are not classified as small businesses, the work performed by AbilityOne subcontractors does not impact the prime contractor's small business status. Even if an AbilityOne Subcontractor performs over 51% of the work, it does not change the prime contractor's size classification. A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting
5182	As an example, in category A, NAICS 541519e footnote 18 does not have an asterisk for AbilityOne. If an offeror uses NAICS 541519e footnote 18, does that mean, if awarded, said offeror does not have a 2% AbilityOne obligation or is the AbilityOne 2% obligation at the task order level dependent on the NAICS code for the task order regardless of which NAICS code the SEWP contract was awarded under?	Yes.

5184	A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME Pg 103 Exhibit 3a- Category A Solutions Spreadsheet. /Offerors proposing to category B and/ or C shall complete Exhibit 3b- Category B Solutions Spreadsheet and Exhibit 3c- Category C Solutions Spreadsheet. The UNSPSC codes must accurately match the proposed services/technology in each row and must be the full 8-digit UNSPSC code. QUESTION: Can the government confirm what the UNSPSC code is? *1*	UNSPSC codes are explained in Section A.1.22 UNSPSC CODES.
5188	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME Pg 107(a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR. / 9. Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback. (Excluded from the page limitation). QUESTION: Can the Government please clarify if the Prime Offeror received a subcontract evaluation can we use the subcontractor evaluation instead of a CPAR if it includes the same information that a CPAR rating has? *1*	Offerors should not submit CPARS information.
5206	A.3.7.1 states "The offeror must provide relevant experience as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Can the government confirm if the instruction should read as "...NAICS codes..."?	The wording is correct - a singular NAICS code is defined as the NAICS code being used for competition.
5208	Regarding A.3.7.2(b) on page 110, will the government consider accepting CPARS as a substitution to PPQs?	No.
5217	Volume 1 – Offer Volume: Category B: For Small Business offerors. The solicitation states "A total of 3 different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Does this mean that we only need REPs to cover 3 out of the 10 Mandatory Experience Technical Areas identified in Category B?	Yes.
5221	For Category B - How many mandatory technical areas need to be covered out of the 10 for each REP? Does each REP have to cover a minimum of 4 areas or any one of the technical areas?	For Other than Small Businesses: A total of four (4) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted
5223	Can the mandatory technical areas be the same in different REP's or do they have to be different?	A different Technical Area must be provided for each REP.
5262	The RFP States, "For Category B and C - For joint ventures, the Offerors shall provide the work done and qualifications held individually by each partner to the joint venture as well as any work done by the joint venture itself." Question: For the requirement of work qualifications, from each JV partner, will the Government consider adding an exhibit where offerors can submit this information, for general description of relevant work and qualifications, but not related to the REP requirement?	No. Only information related to the REP requirement should be submitted.
5294	The RFP states "The offeror shall provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance." Question: Will the Government please allow offerors to submit the latest CPARS report for a project in place of a Past Performance Questionnaire, to reduce the burden on our client POCs?	No.
5308	The RFP says, "Contract holders shall allocate a target goal of at least 2% of the overall contract value to AbilityOne subcontractors." Would the Government clarify that this requirement is applicable to Category A only? 2% of the overall contract value does not seem realistic for the scope of Categories B and C considering products are only ancillary and the list of services on the AbilityOne website: <a href="https://www.abilityone.gov/procurement_list/services_list.html">https://www.abilityone.gov/procurement_list/services_list.html</a> do not represent many of the technical areas covered by these categories?	All offerors are required to include AbilityOne subcontracting if they plan to bid under NAICS and PSC codes designated with an asterisk* in the RFP, which requires the use of AbilityOne as subcontractors. This includes Categories A, B and C. Reference Solicitation A.1.35 AbilityOne Subcontracting for guidance
5326	In the RFP, Section A.3.7.1(b), Pg. 103, for Category B, Other than Small Business, the requirement is for each project to have a minimum of \$30M in total value size. For a 5-year contract, this would equate to an annual value of \$6M. However, not all contracts are awarded for 5 years; therefore, an even larger contract may have a lower total value size. For example, a 3-year contract at \$8M/year would not meet this threshold, but a significantly smaller 10-year contract of \$3M/year would. Please add in an alternative threshold for a minimum annual value that contracts could meet, such as "a minimum total contract value of \$30M or a minimum annual value of at least \$6M will at least two years of performance.	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.

5339	<p>"The language in A.3.5 only restricts offerors, and subcontractors seem to be permitted to submit on multiple teams. Although the restriction on duplicate content may prohibit some subcontractors from using the same reference on multiple teams, a subcontractor may be able to write up the same REP with differing descriptions so as to not appear to be a duplication. This could create a scenario similar to other large GWACs that have been mired in protest, partly due to large volumes of offers received with substantially identical teams aside from the prime contractor.</p> <p>Would the Government please consider mitigating this risk by modifying A.3.5 to add the language "REPs and Past Performance references from first-tier subcontractors may only be used in one proposal per category as a first-tier subcontractor. This does not prohibit different references from being used in different proposals nor does it prohibit offerors from proposing additional first-tier subcontractors at the order level."</p>	The Solicitation will remain as stated.
5351	May offerors submit a completed CPARs report in lieu of a PPQ?	No.
5364	We understand that we have to use one Exhibit 1 Form for each contract / project. We believe that Exhibit 1 for one contract / project can cover one or more of mandatory experience technical areas. Please confirm.	No. Only one technical area can be covered in one REP.
5381	If there are OTSB AbilityOne subcontractors, are small businesses permitted to subcontract to them on task orders set aside for small business?	All offerors proposing under NAICS/PSC Codes which are delineated with an asterisk* in the RFP are required to subcontract with a qualified AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency.
5413	What FAR clause is the SEWP PMO using to require that all bidders enter into a contractual relationship with a commercial entity without considering competition?	The AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency(s) to be used as subcontractors are selected by the Prime Contractor. The AbilityOne Nonprofit Agencies compete to be selected by the Prime.
5426	Has the government confirmed that AbilityOne and its subsidiaries ensure cost competitiveness on task orders?	The Prime Contractor evaluates the cost competitiveness of the subcontractors.
5433	What are the "four Acquisition Objectives" referenced in paragraph A.3.7.3(a)?	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
5437	If bidding Category A both as a Large Business, and as a Small Business in our role as Joint Venture partner, will the Letter of Supply documents from the Manufacturers need to state the Specific name of the JV, or will the letter to one of the individual JV partners be sufficient?	The 4 designated provider Letter of Authorizations (LOA) are specific to SEWP VI and should reference the name of the JV.
5483	If an offeror is not competing task orders under any NAICS codes that require AbilityOne subcontracting, is offeror required to meet the 2% goal by utilizing AbilityOne subcontracting on task orders that do not have the requirement to utilize AbilityOne subcontractors?	No.
5488	A.3.7.2, Page 103,104. Can the Government confirm whether each REP may only be used to demonstrate experience in one content area per category or can one REP be used to demonstrate experience for multiple content areas within a category. For example, can an Offeror use a single award task order to cover all 3 Mandatory Experience Technical Areas for Category B, Small Business?	No. Only one technical area can be covered in one REP.
5514	Exhibit 1: Please clarify if the Exhibit 1 Relevant Experience Project Table should be embedded within the Volume I PDF file or provided as separate documents within the Volume I folder.	Exhibit 1 should be embedded in the Volume 1 PDF.
5518	Past Performance Matrix- "Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project."; Relevant Experience Projects are not required for a Category A submission. Would the Government clarify that a Past Performance Matrix is not required to be submitted for Category A proposal compliance?	Past Performance Matrix is required to be submitted for Category A proposal compliance unless an Offeror has no past experience that meets the relevancy requirements.
5548	For the Commitment to Supply Chain Management section, please confirm that if an offeror provides a copy of a valid active Open Trusted Technology Provider™ Standard (O-TTPS) Certification, that this certification is not included in Management section's 15 page limit.	Confirmed.

5566	<p>Page 107 states, "11. List any contracts terminated (partial or complete) within the past three years and basis for termination (convenience or default). Include the contract number, name, and the telephone number and e-mail address of the terminating officer (please verify information). Include contracts that were "de-scoped" by the customer because of performance or cost problems. (Excluded from the page limitation)."</p> <p>With respect to terminations for convenience or de-scoped requirements, please consider revising this requirement to specify that offers need only include contracts that were terminated for convenience or de-scoped due to contractor deficiencies and/or errors such as "performance or cost problems". There are many instances where a contract is terminated for convenience or de-scoped for government purposes unknown to the contractor and not related to contract performance.</p>	The solicitation will remain as stated.
5567	<p>Given the length of mentor protege arrangements (up to six years) relative to the limited duration of joint ventures (2 years from date of first contract award), will the government please confirm that relevant experience projects and past performance examples performed by a former joint venture under the same mentor protege arrangement (composed of the same members) as the offering joint venture will be treated as prime offeror experience projects and past performance examples of the offering joint venture?</p> <p>Proposed solicitation language to cover this situation:</p> <p>Relevant experience projects and past performance examples from a previous joint venture that was composed of the same members as the offering joint venture entity shall be treated as the relevant experience projects and past performance examples of the offering joint venture. In this situation, a complete copy of the former joint venture agreement must be provided in addition to the other information required by the solicitation for joint ventures. Failure to submit a complete copy of the former joint venture agreement with the proposal meeting these requirements may result in the relevant experience project being removed and the past performance examples not considered. The government is not obligated to acquire this information from an Offeror."</p>	The solicitation will remain as stated.
5576	<p>The RFP states "A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." Please confirm this means that no mandatory experience technical area can be referenced more than once across the three REPs.</p>	Yes. Only one technical area can be covered in one REP and each REP must be for a different Technical Area.
5578	<p>The RFP states "A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." What is the minimum number of mandatory technical areas that must be addressed in each REP?</p>	One and only one Technical Area must be addressed in an REP.
5583	<p>The RFP states "A total of three (3) different REPs from different mandatory experience technical areas shall be submitted." If in the submission of the 3 different REPS they have overlapping technical areas will the Government consider that non-compliant?</p>	No. The Government will only evaluate that one Technical Area is covered in each REP and that each is a different Technical Area. References to other Technical Areas will be considered irrelevant.
5585	<p>Do you require the use of the Adobe PDF Portfolio template for the submission, as referenced on page 95?</p>	Yes.
5592	<p>Please clarify if subcontractor past performance is allowed for Small Businesses or if they are required to be labeled as a First-Tier subcontractor in order to reference their past performance.</p>	Offerors shall follow 13 CFR 125.2(g).
5595	<p>RFP, Page 96 and A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUIME on Page 105 – Would the Government consider accepting CPARs in lieu of a PPQ?</p>	No.
5603	<p>Section 6 of Exhibit 2 is a duplicate of Volume II, paragraph A.3.7.2 (a), Item 4 requirement. Will the Government please consider removing this section from the questionnaire to scale back the length of the document and reduce administrative burden to customer references?</p>	The solicitation will remain as stated.
5613	<p>When submitting REPs for Category C - there is a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract." If using REPs from when we were a subcontractor, our orders are often given as contract mods in incremental amounts. Does this minimum have to be met from a single award or can it be from multiple contract mods totalling \$2M over the course of the contract / task order?</p>	The minimum can be met by either a single award or from multiple contract mods.
5615	<p>RFP A.3.7.2(a)9 instructs Offerors to provide "Recent customer evaluations of past performance including Award Fee Evaluation results, Fee Determination Official letters, Annual Performance Evaluation Forms, or any other written performance feedback." Are these evaluations limited to the "up to three" contracts in the Past Performance volume?</p>	Yes.

5621	Is there a template for the Meaningful Relationship Letter, and how is it different from the Mentor-Protégé Agreement (MPA) we are supposed to submit? (page 99)	There is no template. The information required to be included in the Meaningful Relationship Letter is provided in A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS. It is different from an MPA.
5631	What specific elements does the agency want to see in the CTA?	The information required to be included in the CTA is provided in A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS.
5636	Contractor Teaming Agreement: Is the Prime offeror required to enter into a CTA or are Teaming Agreements acceptable?	Contractor Team Arrangement(CTA): The information requested in A.3.7.1(a)(3) must be provided if Offeror is proposing a CTA to satisfy the requirements of this contract. In the event that an Offeror forms a CTA, but fails to provide this information, the Offeror will be eliminated from competition.
5642	For the NAICS codes requiring use of Ability One subcontractors - does this also apply to small businesses applying under Category C?	Yes.
5647	Page 97, Teaming Agreements (Category C): Will the Government allow the Prime to bid as a Team of Subcontractors, with the Prime establishing Teaming Agreements with its Subcontractors? Or does the Offeror, if using a team approach, have to create a CTA?	Contractor Team Arrangement(CTA): The information requested in A.3.7.1(a)(3) must be provided if Offeror is proposing a CTA to satisfy the requirements of this contract. In the event that an Offeror forms a CTA, but fails to provide this information, the Offeror will be eliminated from competition.
5670	In lieu of PPQs will the government accept CPARS if they are available?	No.
5675	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (Page 105).  General Clarification for Offerors who are teaming.  Within the solicitation document, would the Government provide clear clarification on the limitation of Offeror's reusing the same past performance (REPs) across multiple Categories and/or teaming partnership.	There is no restriction with an Offeror reusing the same REPs and/or Past Performance References across Categories. The Solicitation wording has been clarified as to the use of partnerships.
5681	A.3.7.2.(b) Prior Customer Evaluations (Past Performance Questionnaires):  (PP 110).  The solicitation states the following:  "The offeror shall provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance."  The solicitation also states:  "NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS))" .  Would the government accept CPARS in place of the Exhibit 2 Questionnaire, given that our Government clients have already completed their assessments on Quality, Management, Cost, Schedule, and Regulatory Compliance? This would help reduce the burden on clients who have already conducted a thorough evaluation of the Offeror's performance.	No.
5695	Would the Government please confirm, that in writing the approach for Technical Approach and Management Approach in the Mission Suitability volume offerors may assume and reference the ability to add additional subcontractors when bidding at the Task Order level to address either capability or capacity needs of a given Task Order.	Offeror's should respond to the mission Suitability volume based on their current and planned technical and management approaches.
5698	If an offeror has a CPAR for a project, do they submit it under Item 9 (Recent Customer Evaluation) or does it get submitted under Item (c) Independent Past Performance Information?	Offerors do not submit the independent past performance information.
5713	In the proposal submission table, please confirm that each line item is a separate file within the volume folder.	There is not a one to one correspondence between the submission table and the individual files.
5724	For the requested information in the bulleted list beginning with "The Offeror shall also provide the following information:" on page 98, please confirm that this information is included as an attachment in the same file containing the completed SF1449.	Yes.
5727	RFP A.3.7.2(a)12 includes a Sample Past Performance Matrix. Please confirm that Offerors are to identify in the Column headings the Content Representative Areas we are claiming our "up to three" contracts support. Then, we are to mark (P, S1, S2) the rows where the Content Representative Areas and contracts intersect. Is this correct?	Yes.

5735	Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME (A) Technical Approach (SUBFACTOR A) states that Offerors "shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives." There are only three acquisition objectives listed within the Section. Will the Government provide the fourth Acquisition Objective or amend the solicitation to only include three acquisition objectives?	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
5760	Will the Government please clarify whether Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters are required for Mentor/Protege Joint Ventures?	Offerors sharing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure (including its Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures) may only submit one Offer (e.g., proposal) from that Corporate Structure. More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure. If an Offeror submits more than one proposal with any Meaningful Relationships sharing proposal evaluation elements, only the first proposal received will be considered for evaluation and all other proposals received will be rejected and not evaluated. Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses will not be evaluated.
5767	If proposing as a Joint Venture, can the Government clarify who is required to complete and submit any required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments, the Joint Venture Prime Offeror only or does each member of the Joint Venture (Mentor and Protégé) also need to complete and submit any required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions, and attachments?	The Offeror shall complete SF1449 Blocks 12 (if applicable), 17, and 30 and the indicated Offeror required fill-ins in the clauses, provisions/representations and certifications, and attachments. An Offeror's Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code in SAM.gov shall match the Offeror's name on the SF1449. The signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal submitted. Failure to provide a signed SF 1449 and acknowledgement of all subsequent solicitation amendments will result in the Offeror being eliminated from competition.
5768	Question 1: Should Block 28 be checked on the SF1449 be checked since Offerors are required to return one (1) completed and signed copy?  Question 2: If Amendments are issued, can the Government clarify how an Offeror shall acknowledge receipt and acceptance of the amendment and what Proposal Volume to include the acknowledge under?	Yes, Block 28 should be checked. Additionally, in accordance with A.3.7.1: The signed SF1449 and the pages with the required fill-ins must be submitted with the proposal. By signing and submitting the SF1449, the Offeror has read, understands, and agrees to the terms and conditions of the RFP unless otherwise noted when the proposal submitted. The associated documentation should be submitted as part of Volume I.
5775	To which Volume(s) and Subfactor(s) is/are the requirement of including "completed exhibits in Microsoft Office Excel format" applicable?	Exhibits 3a, 4 and 5 are the Excel formatted documents. Exhibit 3a and 4 are submitted in Volume I and Exhibit 5 is submitted in Volume III.
5780	Page 110. Can CPARS submissions as indicated in A.3.7.2(c) be substituted for Past Performance Questionnaires?	No.
5781	A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME I04 For past performance contracts, please confirm the average annual cost/fee is the same as the average annual contract value. Because the term "contract value" is used elsewhere in the solicitation, we request that "average annual contract value" be used for past performance instead of "average annual cost/fee".	No. In accordance with A.4.3 Phase Two-Past Performance: "All past performance references must meet the "recent" and minimum average annual cost/fee expenditures criteria as specified in Section A.3.7.2 to be evaluated."
5782	"The RFP states: ""The proposal shall clearly and fully demonstrate the offeror's capability, knowledge, and experience regarding the technical requirements of this RFP.""  Are the ""technical requirements of this RFP"" to be construed as Technical Areas 1b through 11b and/or 1c through 11c?"	This is a general instruction regarding the Offeror's understanding of the RFP and is not in reference to specific technical areas.
5785	"The RFP states: ""The proposal shall clearly and fully demonstrate the offeror's capability, knowledge, and experience regarding the technical requirements of this RFP.""  In which volume shall ""capability, knowledge, and experience regarding the technical requirements of this RFP"" be included?"	The sentence is an overarching statement referencing the responses to all sections of the proposal.

5798	<p>"The RFP states: ""Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract.""</p> <p>The RFP later states: ""Meaningful relationships will be evaluated to determine whether the shared resources add value and there is adequate rationale provided by the offeror to validate the shared resources.""</p> <p>If a small business is a wholly owned subsidiary of a small business Offeror (as shown in thier SAM.gov registrations), and both of those companies have the same ownership, share an identical headquarters/backoffice staff, use the same accounting and human resources systems, and deliver with common CMMI/ISO practices/processes, etc., then will stating these facts be evaluated as constituting the required demonstration/rationale/validation?"</p>	The Government will take into consideration whether the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) will be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.
5799	<p>"The RFP states: ""Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract.""</p> <p>The RFP later states: ""Meaningful relationships will be evaluated to determine whether the shared resources add value and there is adequate rationale provided by the offeror to validate the shared resources.""</p> <p>If a small business is a wholly owned subsidiary of a small business Offeror (as shown in thier SAM.gov registrations), and both of those companies have the same ownership, share an identical headquarters/backoffice staff, use the same accounting and human resources systems, and deliver with common CMMI/ISO practices/processes, etc., then will stating these facts be evaluated as constituting the required demonstration/rationale/validation?"</p>	The Government will take into consideration whether the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) will be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.
5804	<p>"The RFP states: ""Small Business Subcontracting Plan history [is required], if applicable....""</p> <p>To which type of Offeror and/or SEWP VI Category is this proposal requirement applicable?"</p>	The proposal requirement is applicable to Category A and B Other than Small Business Offerors.
5811	Is having a first tier subcontractor on your Prime bid considered a CTA in accordance with A.3.5? If so, please confirm that a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is not required for first tier subs.	No, having a first-tier subcontractor is, in itself, not considered a CTA.
5833	Will the Government please confirm Exhibit 5 C-SCRM Attestation Form is excluded from the Management Approach page limitation.	Confirmed.
5837	On page 96, Section A.3.6 Proposal Preparation—General Instructions, B. Proposal Content and Page Limitations: Under Past Performance Volume, (b), can the government clarify what information should be included in the Indices? Would the government like to see an index in this section, or additional past performance information?	There are no requirements in regard to indices. The information provided is at the discretion of the Offeror. Indices are used to improve readability and are not themselves evaluated.
5850	<p>Section # Section A.3.7.2 (a) Pge # 105</p> <p>Que: INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR states "Subcontractor past performance information will only be evaluated for small business prime offerors." Does it mean only small business Prime offerors will be able to use Subcontractor past performance? Please clarify.</p>	Yes. Only mall business primes can use small business subcontractor past performance,
5851	RFP A.3.5 - The instructions state, "Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses that create a CTA will not be evaluated." Would the Government please confirm if this restriction applies solely to larger businesses teaming with other large businesses, and not to larger businesses subcontracting to small businesses?	The wording refers to any business size.
5863	<p>Section # A.3.7.2 (c) Pge # 110</p> <p>Que: Can we use CPARS instead of PPQs?</p>	No.

5880	The submission of PPQ information relies heavily on the responsiveness of each government contracting entity not controlled by vendors. Request the government reconsider the PPQ requirement and allow the use of other methods such as CPARS and/or FPDS data.	No. CPARS and/or FPDS data cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
5894	Why is past performance of subcontracts under SEWP treated differently compared to commercial contracts?	Past performance of subcontracts are treated the same as commercial contracts.
5900	· If an offeror lacks full capabilities, will their suitability be rated lower than those who cover the entire scope?	There is no stated requirement to provide full capabilities.
5922	Can the government please clarify the requirements for Attachment I, Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter?	The information required to be included in the Meaningful Relationship Letter is provided in A.3.7.1 OFFER VOLUME (a) GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS.
5928	For the purposes of contractual deliverables, can Government confirm that it will use the primary NAICS selected at proposal for size standard determination?	Yes.
5938	In the third bullet within section A.3.7.1 on page 98 of the RFP, the Government requires offerors to provide "Offeror's subcontracting plan and AbilityOne Commitment Letter, if applicable." Is this "subcontracting plan" referring to a standard small business subcontracting plan as defined in FAR 52.219, or a more specific AbilityOne subcontracting plan?	It is referring to a standard small business subcontracting plan.
5943	In section A.3.7.2 (a) page no 106, it is written that " A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP" Please clarify it as to what is meant by " no more than 3 references for the offeror and no more than 1 reference contract for the first-tier subcontractor	In this section "No more" is the equivalent of "not to exceed".
5950	Are offerors allowed to submit CPARS instead of the Past Performance Questionnaires?	No.
5953	In section A.3.7.2 (a) page no 106, it is written that " A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP" Please clarify it as to what is meant by " no more than 3 references for the offeror and no more than 1 reference contract for the first-tier subcontractor	In this section "No more" is the equivalent of "not to exceed".
5991	Are IT subcontracts between two companies, where the end client is the government, considered commercial contracts?	Yes, a Commercial contract is a contract that is not a NASA contract or other Government contract.
5992	· Are IT service contracts between two companies considered commercial contracts?	Yes, a Commercial contract is a contract that is not a NASA contract or other Government contract.
6002	The Government states that "Meaningful relationships will be evaluated to determine whether...there is adequate rationale provided by the offeror to validate the shared resources." Can the Government please provide additional details / clarity as to what it would consider "adequate rationale"?	The Government will take into consideration whether the resources of the parent or affiliate or predecessor company (its workforce, management, facilities, or other resources) will be provided or relied upon for contract performance such that the parent or affiliate will have meaningful involvement in contract performance.
6003	Could you please elaborate on the minimum requirements for phase 3?	Instructions for Phase 3 are provided in Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME
6015	With respect to Category B and C, how many mandatory technical areas can we bid on?	Technical Areas are not bid on; they are areas that the Offeror utilizes to submit the minimum number of past performance and Representative Example Projects.
6021	Would the Government please clarify the requirements for a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for Contractors who are large under 541519e (Category A) but small on the submission NAICS?	The requirements for a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for Contractors who are large based on the NAICS Code selected when uploading the proposal and entered in Block 10 of the 1449.

6023	The RFP says, "A contractor can compete for a SEWP VI contract using any of the eligible in-scope NAICS for the category in which they are competing and are not beholden to using NAICS 541512- Computer Systems Design Services. The use of NAICS Code 541512- Computer Systems Design Services in Category B and C or NAICS 541519 footnote 18- IT Value Added Reseller in Category A are for administrative purposes only." Is there a requirement to choose a NAICS that is in scope for each Category for which we are bidding? If so, where should we indicate what our primary NAICS is for the purposes of the contract award? How should we determine whether we should comply with the small business requirements or the large business requirements for the proposal purposes?	It is a requirement to choose a NAICS that is in scope for each Category for which we are bidding. The selection is made when the proposal is uploaded and must match the entry in the SF1449. The selected NAICS code and business size determine whether you should comply with the small business requirements or the large business requirements for the proposal purposes.
6025	Can we put a cover letter in the Mission Suitability Volume?	Yes.
6028	Can CPARS be a substitute for PPQ?	No.
6040	Section A.3.5 Proposals Requested: Meaningful Relationship Letter: Our company acquired another company several years ago and are still in the process of novating the contracts to the acquiring company. Documentation has been submitted and accepted but not yet final/processed by DCMA. Is a MRL required to show the relationship between the acquired and acquiring company if the contracts are still in the name and cage code of the acquired company and the acquired company is no longer operational?	Yes.
6041	If the Prime Offeror of a CTA cannot independently demonstrate any relevant experience or past performance, but can fulfill all such requirements through references from first-tier subcontractors, is the Prime Offeror required to submit any REP or PP references?	Question is unclear, Is the Prime Offeror an SB? The requirements of 80TECH24R0001 are outlined in the RFP Documents. To the extent there are any inconsistencies between the published Questions and Answer and the RFP, the RFP will govern.
6044	If the Managing Venturer of a Joint Venture cannot independently demonstrate any relevant experience or past performance, but can fulfill all such requirements through references from members of the JV, is the Managing Venturer required to submit any REP or PP references?	Question is unclear. The requirements of 80TECH24R0001 are outlined in the RFP Documents. To the extent there are any inconsistencies between the published Questions and Answer and the RFP, the RFP will govern.
6046	Is the protégé of a mentor-protégé joint venture required to submit any REP or PP references?	No.
6049	Where should the Offeror include information required on pg 98-100 in volume I as it is not included or referenced in the Proposal Submission Table? Is there a page limit?	The solicitation was amended in Amendment 8 to provide more detailed information in the Proposal Submission Table.
6061	can we use NAICS 541512 for all the three categories i.e. A, B and C or can we only use it for category B and C for defining our size standard	Any valid NAICS code in Exhibit 4 may be utilized.
6065	If an offeror is in the process of obtaining certification for HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, or 8a, may offerors provide proof that certification is in process, with award contingent upon certification being complete by the time of award?	No. Offerors should only indicate and utilize NAICS codes and business sizes as listed in SAM.gov.
6066	Section A.3.7.2 (c) can CPARS be used in lieu of past performance questionnaires?	No.
6067	Page 149 (b)(1) reads that the NAICS code for acquisition is empty, (b)(2) does not assign a size standard, (b)(3) assigns a 500 employee size standard with 150 standard ONLY applicable with three exceptions. Total small business set aside over the SAT, Hubzone price preference, or socioeconomic set aside or sole source award. Please confirm that the small business standard for COTS VARS in accordance with the NFR under 541519 is 500 employees since one of these three exceptions has not been met in the SEWP VI proposal.	The entries for the noted fill-ins will be based on the NAICS code and business size selected by the Offeror at the time of their Proposal submission.
6072	The RFP states that "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category" - Can a company be a subcontractor to unlimited prime offerors?	Yes.
6080	The RFP states that: "In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category." - Is there a limit to the number of teams, an offeror participates in as a subcontractor?	No.

6092	Sections 3.7.1.b and 3.7.2 - For category B, Notes each project must have minimum of \$5 million total value but 3.7.2 states small business project size of \$1,000,000 annual. Please clarify interpretation of required scope.	Section 3.7.1 refers to minimums with respect to the REPs which is \$5M for small businesses in Category B. Section 3.7.2. refers to minimums with respect to the Past Performance references which is \$1M for small businesses in Category B.
6093	Sections 3.7.1.c and 3.7.2 For category C, Notes each project must have minimum of \$2 million total value but 3.7.2 states small business project size of \$500,000 annual. Please clarify interpretation of required scope.	Section 3.7.1 refers to minimums with respect to the REPs which is \$2M for small businesses in Category B. Section 3.7.2. refers to minimums with respect to the Past Performance references which is \$500,000 for small businesses in Category C.
6094	Could the Government clarify if their definition of a Contractor Team Arrangement (CTA) aligns with the guidelines set forth in FAR 9.601?	Yes.
6097	Will the government consider accepting CPARS in place of PPQs? Re: RFP, A.3.7.2 Past Performance Volume (c) Independent Past Performance Information, "NASA...may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation".	No.
6115	Block 24 is not editable on the SF-1449.	Block 24 should remain blank.
6117	Can the Government clarify if a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter is required for a Joint Venture that consists only of the Parent Company/Holding Company and its subsidiaries and affiliates?	Offerors sharing resources from a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure for evaluation purposes will need to provide a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter.
6119	Reference A.3.7.2 PAST PERFORMANCE VOLUME (a) INFORMATION FROM THE OFFEROR - Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date to be considered recent"  Our understanding is that you want a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of our most recent similar contracts for the entire Category A not for each TA. Please clarify if we did not understand correctly.	The requirement is for a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of our most recent similar contracts for the entire Category A.
6126	Can CPARS be used in place of past performance questionnaires?	No.
6128	Can the Offeror submit a CPAR in place of a Recent, Similar Contract (i.e. Past Performance)?	No.
6140	For categories B and C -The government will evaluate the offeror's management approach for commitment to supply chain management as specified in Section A.3.7.3(b)(1)(ii) for effectiveness, reasonableness, and efficiency. is there a percentage or point allocation for each section?	No.
6144	Does the requirement that says "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition," mean that an Offeror's past performance references have to be in the NAICS code on the 1449 for the applicable category or can they match the NAICS codes listed in A.1.34 for the applicable category?	Offerors are to provide Past Performance submissions that relate to the NAICS code listed on the 1449. For example, if the Offeror listed NAICS code 541512 on its 1449, then the Offeror must provide Past Performance references that relate to NAICS code 541512.
6146	Will the Past Performance Questionnaire or CPAR be considered if one or more of the requirements (i.e., technical, schedule, cost and management) are not applicable for the Past Performance reference?	CPARs cannot be submitted in place of PPQs.
6148	Are we required to use SourceAmerica/NIB as the AbilityOne company or can we engage a different AbilityOne subcontractor?	The Prime Contractor may use any qualified AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency provided by either NIB, SourceAmerica or both. To qualify for subcontracting on the SEWP VI Contract, a nonprofit agency must meet the eligibility criteria of a producing AbilityOne Network Nonprofit Agency in good standing. If it meets the criteria, the NPA must execute an agreement with their respective Central Nonprofit Agency (CNA) (NIB or SourceAmerica) and meet the reporting and other requirements to the CNA.
6166	Could the Government please confirm our understanding that each REP must cover at least one mandatory area? For example, could a large business submit a total of four REPs, each covering one mandatory area, and still pass Phase I?	Yes as long as each REP covers a different Technical Area.
6168	The instructions for Volume III-A item #2 states that "The offeror shall describe their ITC/AV-based solutions and/or services and how the proposed features provide technological leadership in allowing for the next generation of technology in terms of both solutions and services." Please define and/or provide examples of "next generation technology" relative to Category C, as this is a very broad and potentially subjective term.	The offeror should provide the examples of next generation technology as it relates to their offerings and capabilities.

6169	"The Government states, ""A Relevant Experience Project (REP) for mandatory experience is defined as a single contract or task order as either a prime or subcontractor per REP area. An REP must be based on a single specific contract or task order and not based on a single IDIQ contract."" Could it please confirm our understanding that a single-award IDIQ (i.e. a contract with only one awardee against which task orders are issued), could be used as a REP if over \$30 million worth of task orders have been obligatd against it since it is a ""single contract?""	Yes.
6174	The Government states, "Offerors sharing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure (including its Parent Company/Holding Company or any one or more of its affiliates, subsidiaries, business units, joint ventures, or any other types of independent business structures) may only submit one Offer (e.g., proposal) from that Corporate structure. More than one Offer, e.g., proposal, from a Corporate Structure may be submitted if an Offeror is NOT sharing proposal evaluation elements and/or committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure." Could the Government please clarify what it means by, "committing resources from other entities by way of a Meaningful Relationship within a Corporate Structure." Could it please provide examples of what would be prohibited?	No.
6179	Can we submit one proposal as prime on one category and one with JV on another category? Can we do sub-contracting on one category and as prime on another category? Can we bid as prime on all three categories? Can we can bid as prime on one category and form JV in the same category at same time? Can we can bid as prime on one category and do subcontracting in the same category at same time? Can we can bid as prime on one category and go for teaming as Prime - Subcontractor in another Category? Can we can bid as prime on one category and go for teaming with large business in another Category? Can we do Subcontracting with any Large Business on any of the Three Categories?	Can we submit one proposal as prime on one category and one with JV on another category? Yes, Can we do sub-contracting on one category and as prime on another category? Yes. Can we bid as prime on all three categories? Yes. Can we can bid as prime on one category and form JV in the same category at same time? Yes. Can we can bid as prime on one category and do subcontracting in the same category at same time? Yes. Can we can bid as prime on one category and go for teaming as Prime - Subcontractor in another Category? Yes. Can we can bid as prime on one category and go for teaming with large business in another Category? Yes. Can we do Subcontracting with any Large Business on any of the Three Categories? Yes.
6181	Can an Offeror's first-tier subcontractor get involved independently with AbilityOne while in a teaming agreement with the Offeror?	This is dependent on the terms of the teaming agreement between the Offeror and the first-tier subcontractor.
6184	If bidding Category A both as a Large Business, and as a Small Business in our role as Joint Venture partner, will the Letter of Supply documents from the Manufactures need to state the Specific name of the JV, or will the letter to one of the individual JV partners be sufficient?  We currently do product and services business to support the NASA SEWP Office. What OCI documentation is needed to ensure we can continue to support them with great services, but not be ruled-out for consideration for a NASA SEWP VI prime contract?	The LOA must reference the JV itself.
6195	Can the mandatory technical areas be the same in different REP's or do they have to be different?	They must be different.
6198	Can we use a task order which has different TO number as it was extended year on year? Total value of last three years task orders is over \$30 Mn. (E.g. 2021 - \$10M, 2022 - \$10M, 2023 - \$12m = \$32m). Will the agency consider this as a single TO?	Yes.
6201	Section A.3.6; Page 95; Can you please provide further clarity of what is expected with the below statement. "Each volume of the proposal shall specify the evaluation criteria being addressed and contain a table of contents with the RFP evaluation criteria." Does this mean that how we organize our proposal response should be based on Section A.4.1 and its associated volume description (A.4.2 is Offer Volume)? And do we need to provide the evaluation criteria statements within our sections of the proposal response. Typically, we organize our proposal volume responses based on the requirements of each proposal like A.3.7.1, A.3.7.2 and A.3.7.3.	The format should be such that it clearly notates as to the evaluation factor and sub-factor the narrative is in response to.

6206	Should we complete Exhibit 2 if we have CPARS available? Or is only CPARS sufficient?	Yes, you must complete Exhibit 2. CPARS cannot be used as a substitute for Exhibit 2.
6211	"Government mentions "Examples of how the respective documents within the folder are to be labeled are shown below: GetItDone_Category#- EXHIBIT # GetItDone_Category#- LOA # GetItDone_Category#- PP #". Then the government mentions "Each proposal volume shall be submitted in a single searchable Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) file (compatible with ADOBE Reader version DC or 2017), with appropriate bookmarks to at least to the section header."" If you are submitting a proposal to Category B, then there will be 3 folders in the zip named: Vendor_CategoryB_Volume 1, Vendor_CategoryB_Volume 2, and Vendor_CategoryB_Volume 3. Is that correct? In a folder for example, Vendor_CategoryB_Volume 1 will there be individual files making up Volume 1 or will there be one single searchable Adobe PDF containing all the required Category B information for Volume 1?"	There should be one single searchable Adobe PDF containing all the required Category B information for Volume 1 that are required to be submitted in a PDF format.
6223	Please confirm that, per the example in above paragraph, it is permissible for XYZ Corp to share proposal evaluation elements for both its bid as a prime contractor as well as its bid through the JV they have formed with 123 LLC. In other words, XYZ Corp is considered a separate Corporate Structure from the JV, and XYZ's proposal evaluation elements can be used for both bids.	Yes.
6227	Should the Reqs & Certs be completed by the Prime only or Subs as well?	Only the Prime.
6242	For Category B and C, CFR 125.2(g) addresses the acceptance of past performances from small business subcontractors to the prime offeror. Will the Government also accept past performances from first-tier OTSB subcontractors on the SB prime offeror's team?	Please refer to A.3.7.2 of the RFP.
6253	Referring to section, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template."  How does Government define the Total Value Size?	The total value size of an ongoing project is calculated as the total potential awarded contract value with all options exercised.
6254	Referring to section, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template." Is Total Value Size the Total Contract Value allocated to the project at time of award or Amount Spent till Date?	The total value size is the total contract value allocated to the project at the time of award.
6256	Could the Government confirm that the following two (2) documents are expected to be one total file, inside the Volume I folder, and not individual documents: SF1449, Representations?	Yes.
6258	Referring to section, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template."  Can we submit Multiple Order IDIQs, Single Order IDIQs as REP?	No.
6259	The RFP P.93 states that "Subcontractors from Other Than Small Businesses that creates a CTA will not be evaluated."  Would Government consider allowing Other Than Small Businesses to submit proposals with subcontractors on their team and with these subcontractors providing Volume 1 Relevant Experience Projects (REP) for evaluation and subcontractors providing Volume 2 Past Performance References for evaluation?	No.
6261	Please confirm that Offerors can leverage experience performed under legacy NAICS codes (ex: 511210) as long as the referenced project was completed/ongoing within three years of the solicitation release date?	Yes.

6263	Offerors proposing as a prime and as part of a joint venture may submit the same management approach, certifications, references for past performance and mandatory experience. In no event can an offeror compete as a prime and as part of more than one joint venture or teaming arrangement per category. Can the Government please clarify if the Letter of Authorization (LOA) must be in the name of the Joint Venture or can the LOA be in the name of a member of the Joint Venture?	The LOA must reference the JV itself.
6264	Referring to section, "Each Project must have had a minimum of \$2M in total value size of a single order or contract and must be described using the Exhibit 1 REP template."  Can we submit a task Order as REP, what will be the Total value Size in that case?	Yes you can submit a task order as an REP. The Total value size is the total value of the task order.
6279	If we have a completed CPARS, can it be submitted in lieu of a client submitting a past performance form?	No.
6282	For relevant experience projects performed as a subcontractor, will individual Purchase Orders be considered as separate Relevant Experience Projects?	Yes.
6288	Proposal Submission – Will the drop down menu make a distinction between 541519 and 541519 ITVAR/footnote 18?	Yes.
6290	In the June 4, 2024 Virtual Webinar, the government stated that for any discrepancy or ambiguity between Government answers to questions, amendments, or other Government-issued guidance, and the final RFP, then the final RFP supersedes all others. Therefore, we request that the Government please conform the final RFP to reflect revisions that result from Government Q&A, amendments, or other updates so that current requirements are clear to Offerors.	The final RFP will be conformed to account for all updates.
6292	Referring to section: "The questionnaire respondent shall be a representative from the technical customer and/or responsible Contracting Officer with direct knowledge of your firm's performance."  Who is the alternate evaluator for PPQs in case Contracting Officer is not available?	The technical customer is the alternate evaluator.
6293	Consider we performed as the incumbent and currently perform as the current contractor for a Relevant Experience Project/Past Performance reference. Is it acceptable to submit the first/incumbent version or this contract and the second contract as two separate projects, although the statement of work is the same for both?	The same statement of work can only be used for multiple REPs if the work being performed relates to two different technical areas.
6296	Consider we performed as the incumbent and currently perform as the current contractor for a Relevant Experience Project/Past Performance reference. Is it acceptable to submit the first/incumbent version or this contract and the second contract as two separate projects, although the statement of work is the same for both?	No.
6298	For Commercial Projects, we usually don't have contract number, can government advise what should we provide in that case?	The order number used to track the order should be provided.
6299	How does Government define the Total Contract Value? Is it Spent till date or value allocated to contract at the time of award?	The total value size of an ongoing project is calculated as the total potential awarded contract value with all options exercised.
6300	A.3.7.2 Past Performance (c) Independent Past Performance Information. Page 108/109  NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation.  Regarding past performance, is it possible to direct the NASA contracting team to review the CPARS records since that's a government-wide system? Can NASA relax the return of Exhibit 2 being returned by Government customers? Can we provide a written Past Performance for verification purposes?	No the requirement will remain as stated; i.e. Exhibit 2 must be returned by Government customers. No, offerors cannot provide a written Past Performance.
6303	What is "Length of Involvement in Program/Contract?"	The length of involvement refers to how long the offeror was involved in the Program/Contract.

6305	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance (c) Independent Past Performance Information. Page 108/109</p> <p>(c) Independent Past Performance Information.</p> <p>NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation.</p> <p>Due to some government legal approval processes, some Government Agencies are unable to fill out and return a past performance questionnaire (Exhibit 2) back to NASA for SEWP VI. Is it possible to provide Past Performance citations back to NASA for verification? Especially if it has a current CPARS? Can NASA relax the return of Exhibit 2 being returned by Government customers?</p>	No the requirement will remain as stated; i.e. Exhibit 2 must be returned by Government customers. No, offerors cannot provide a written Past Performance. CPARS cannot be provided.
6306	How does Government define Estimated Cost?	Estimated Cost on the PPQ refer to Cost the Offeror proposed to do the requirements.
6307	How does Government define Estimated Cost – Initial Value?	Estimated Cost on the PPQ refer to Cost the Offeror proposed to do the requirements.
6309	How does Government define Estimated Cost – Current Value?	Estimated Cost on the PPQ refer to Cost the Offeror proposed to do the requirements. Current Value is Costs incurred up to date of submission.
6310	The RFP states: "12. Past Performance History-. The Offeror shall provide, at a minimum, the following information as part of its Past Performance Volume to demonstrate the relevance of its recent past performance, and to facilitate the evaluation of Past Performance as a whole and as related to the SEWP VI Contract Requirements" Is this required for just the 1-3 contracts submitted for Past Performance or can it include any recent and relevant experience?	Only the contracts used for submitting the past performance questionnaire should be included.
6312	What is the Contract Value and How is it different from Total Value?	They are the same.
6313	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance (c) Independent Past Performance Information. Page 108/109</p> <p>(c) Independent Past Performance Information.</p> <p>NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation.</p> <p>If the Government awards were made on the current SEWP V Contract, with current CPARS will NASA allow this to be a usable past performance, without an emailed copy of the NASA required Past Performance questionnaire document? Some Government agencies will not allow for returned signed documents without Government Legal Counsel approval. This process can sometimes take weeks or longer for approval. Can NASA relax the return of Exhibit 2 being returned by Government customers?</p>	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.
6314	The RFP states: "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Can the government clarify if this means that only projects with in-scope NAICS codes for the categories that the offeror is submitting for can be used for Past Performance?	This means that all past performance references must relate to the single NAICS code being used for competition.
6315	If we are large under 541519e but small under our predominate code of 334111 are we considered small for the submission requirements?	The NAICS code and business size selected by the Offeror at the time of submission as their Proposal level NAICS code and as entered in the SF1449 defines the business size requirement for the proposal.

6316	<p>What is the Annual Contract Value to Date (The current contract expenditures to date divided by the number of years of performance to date)? Does Government expect Spent till date Value?</p>	<p>In accordance with A.3.7.2 (a)(4): The current contract expenditures incurred to date, the date in which the expenditures have been incurred through, and the Average Annual Value to Date. For example (note, these example numbers are not related to this specific procurement):</p> <p>A current five-year contract that you are performing has a total estimated value of \$100,000,000. As of the latest cost report which reflected cost/fee through the first 2 years and 4 months of performance, the total amount of cost/fee incurred by the Offeror over the duration of the contract was \$43,500,000.</p> <p>In this example, an Offeror would provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current Contract Expenditures incurred to Date: \$43,500,000</li> <li>• Date in which Expenditures have been incurred through: Insert Date of cost report that indicated cost/fee total of \$43,500,000 after 2 years and 4 months of performance.</li> <li>• Average Annual Cost/Fee Incurred to Date: \$18,669,528 (\$43,500,000/2.33 years)</li> </ul>
6317	<p>Does the Government require the prime offeror and all of its first tier subcontractors to be classified as small business in order for the team to be grouped for that size standard within a scope category or does the prime offeror only dictate that grouping?</p>	<p>The prime Offeror's business size determines the contract level business size.</p>
6319	<p>Are offeror's able to enter multiple size standards for each NAICS i.e. SB and WOSB? Also, should 8A be one of the options that the offeror can use as a size standard designation?</p>	<p>Offerors are able to enter multiple size standards for each NAICS code in Exhibit 4.</p>
6320	<p>The RFP states: "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract" - Can the government confirm that a MRCL is not required for a first tier subcontractor and only affiliates and predecessor companies?</p>	<p>A.3.7.1(a)(4) articulates when and how Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letters must be provided.</p>
6321	<p>What are the total contract expenditures to date (cost/fees to date based on invoices, reports, etc)?</p> <p>What amount are we supposed to provide here?</p>	<p>The Cost incurred.</p>
6322	<p>The RFP states: "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award. Small business offerors may submit the experience of such other business entities for consideration, but such experience shall only be considered to the extent that the Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter clearly demonstrates that the resources (e.g., financial resources, overall oversight and management, or other resources) of the other companies will meaningfully affect the performance of the proposed contract" - Can the government clarify whether or not it will allow a large business to be a first tier subcontractor to small business prime offeror and, if so, whether or not that team can use the large business' REPs and Past Performance to meet the requirements for the bid?"</p>	<p>No, a large businesses (other than small) can be subcontractors to a small business prime (or small business JV or CTA) as long as they are not utilized for REPs or past performance</p>
6323	<p>If we are large under 541519e but small under our predominate code of 334111 do we need a Commercial subcontracting plan?</p>	<p>The requirement for a subcontracting plan is based on whether the Offeror's NAICS code used for competition results in a business size of Other Than Small Business.</p>
6327	<p>For the Technical Approach, can the Government please clarify these four Acquisition Objectives since objectives are mentioned in multiple places in the RFP?</p>	<p>The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.</p>

6329	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance (c) Independent Past Performance Information. Page 108/109</p> <p>(c) Independent Past Performance Information.</p> <p>NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation.</p> <p>If the Government awards were made on the current SEWP V Contract, with current CPARS will NASA allow this to be a usable past performance, without an emailed copy of the NASA required Past Performance questionnaire document? Some Government agencies will not allow for returned signed documents without Government Legal Counsel approval. This process can sometimes take weeks or longer for approval. Can NASA relax the return of Exhibit 2 being returned by Government customers?</p>	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.
6334	<p>The RFP provides one file name format for Volume III. Confirm that Offerors should submit one Volume III file (vs. multiple files) that includes separate sections for the Technical Approach Volume and the Management Approach Volume.</p>	The Mission Suitability Volume should be broken down into two separate documents: Technical Approach Volume III-A and Management Approach Volume III-B.
6335	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance (c) Independent Past Performance Information. Page 108/109</p> <p>(c) Independent Past Performance Information.</p> <p>NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation.</p> <p>Due to some government legal approval processes, some Government Agencies are unable to fill out and return a past performance questionnaire (Exhibit 2) back to NASA for SEWP VI. Is it possible to provide Past Performance citations back to NASA for verification? Especially if it has a current CPARS? Can NASA relax the return of Exhibit 2 being returned by Government customers?</p>	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.
6338	<p>A.3.7.2 Past Performance (c) Independent Past Performance Information. Page 108/109</p> <p>NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance. While NASA may consider data obtained from other sources, Offerors retain the burden of providing relevant references that NASA can readily contact and for presenting information establishing the relevance of their past performance to NASA's requirements under this solicitation.</p> <p>Regarding past performance, is it possible to direct the NASA contracting team to review the CPARS records since that's a government-wide system? Can NASA relax the return of Exhibit 2 being returned by Government customers? Can we provide a written Past Performance for verification purposes?</p>	No. The solicitation will remain as stated.
6344	<p>If we have a completed CPARS, can it be submitted in lieu of a client submitting a past performance form?</p>	No.
6345	<p>Per the non manufacturer rule and FAR 52.219 as it applies to retail trade. Can you please confirm that COTS retailers are deemed small businesses in any NAICS code on the crosswalk as long as they meet the size standard of 500 or fewer employees and meet the requirements of 13 CFR 121.406?</p>	The business size determination is tied to the NAICs code. Offerors should use Exhibit 4 to supply the NAICs code and associated business sizes for that NAICs code.

6348	If the Government chooses not to conform the final RFP to include updates that result from answers to questions, amendments, or other Government-issued guidance, we request that the Government clearly state which document(s)/requirements Offerors must respond to (e.g., Q&A responses, amendments, others).	The final RFP will be conformed to account for all updates.
6355	Proposal Submission – Will the drop down menu make a distinction between 541519 and 541519 ITVAR/footnote 18?	Yes.
6367	For HUBZone, VOSB, SDVOSB, WOSB, EDWOSB, 8a, offerors, if the two REPs we plan on submitting have the same mandatory experience areas, is that acceptable as long as each contains 2 of the 10 mandatory areas?	It is acceptable, but the Offeror must indicate in Exhibit 1 the Technical Area related to each REP and each REP must reference a different Technical Area. The Government will only evaluate the Technical Area indicated in Exhibit 1 for that REP. References to other Technical Areas are irrelevant to the evaluation. Therefore REP1 should reference one of the Technical Areas, and REP2 should reference the other Technical Area.
6375	Referring to Section, "Information from subcontractors, affiliates, and predecessor companies will be evaluated or taken into consideration for first-tier subcontractors to small businesses in accordance with 13 CFR 125.2(g) only when the Small Business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and/ or past performance necessary for award", We understand that information from subcontractors will only be evaluated or considered for first-tier subcontractors when the Small Business prime offeror cannot independently demonstrate the necessary capabilities and/or past performance for the award. Our question is: even though we can provide the required (REPs), can our subcontractor also provide their REPs if theirs would be more compliant and beneficial in earning us additional points?	There are no points associated with the SEWP VI evaluation process. Only the Prime Offeror's REPs should be submitted.
6376	Can we showcase state/ local experiences for REPs in Category B and Category C?	Yes.
6385	Should the table of contents that is aligned with the RFP evaluation criteria be a separate Table of contents?	Yes.
6391	Can two other than small business contractors form a CTA?	Yes.
6462	A.3.7.2 "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." and "Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope." and A.4.3 "The Offeror must provide Past Performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition"  Example 1. For example, all DoN contracts for technology are assigned NAICS Code 541330 - Can the Offeror submit a project with a 541330 NAICS Code and support a SEWP VI NAICS Code based on the PWS tasks?  Example 2. Some Gov't agencies do not assign a NAICS Code. Can the Offeror demonstrate tasks relevant to SEWP VI NAICS Codes?  How will the Gov't determine relevance to a NAICS code? Will the Government evaluate that the project is aligned to the SEWP VI NAICS Codes based on the PWS/ narrative submitted by the Offeror?  Does the Government want the Offeror to submit Award Forms, PWS/SOW or FPDS reports?	Yes, the Government will evaluate that the project is aligned to the SEWP VI NAICS Codes based on the PWS/ narrative submitted by the Offeror. Offerors are not required to submit award documents, the PWS/SOW, or FPDS reports and any such documentation will count against the Past Performance 10 page limit.
6464	"An Offeror may submit a single award IDIQ/BPA at the contract/agreement level for a single past performance reference. However, Offerors are not permitted to submit multiple awards or GWAC as an individual past performance reference." Please provide clarification on the use of the word "single past performance" to mean for example, only one of three references provided can be a Single Award IDIQ/BPA. If the answer is yes, is the Government considering this as a "collection of task orders"? If the Gov't will accept a collection of task orders that meet all other requirements, can the Offeror combine the Average annual Cost/fee incurred of all Task Orders?	Yes, "single past performance reference" means an Offeror can only provide one Single Award IDIQ/BPA as one of the three required past performance references. If the IDIQ/BPA is being submitted as a single past performance reference, the government is considering it as a single collection of task orders. An Offeror may combine the average annual cost/fee incurred for all task orders under the single award IDIQ/BPA if it meets all other past performance requirements.

6465	<p>"The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) references contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor . . ."</p> <p>Please confirm that a Small Business can submit four (4) contract references. Or please confirm that of the three (3) references, only one can be from a first-tier subcontractor.</p> <p>If the SB can submit four (4) reference contracts, that is in conflict with A.3.7.2(a) Information From the Offeror - "Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below a minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are. . ."</p> <p>Please provide clarification. Does the "not more than three (3) references apply to OTSB?</p>	Yes.
6476	<p>"Provide the questionnaire provided as Exhibit 2 to this RFP for each of the above references to establish a record of past performance." If the Offeror has a recent (within the past year) CPARS, will the Gov't accept that instead of a PPQ (Exhibit 2)?</p>	No.
6477	<p>A.3.5 Proposals Requested "An Offeror can propose as the prime contractor one time per category and can propose one additional time as a member of a joint venture (JV) or contractor team arrangement (CTA) in that same category." Can the government clarify if an Offeror is allowed to submit as a prime contractor and as a team lead of a CTA in the same category?</p>	Yes.
6497	<p>Please clarify if we need to add the annual value or total contract value (i.e., obligated/funded dollars for completed contracts and estimated/projected dollars for ongoing contracts) in the Project Value field listed in Exhibit 1 - REP Table?</p>	Total Contract Value.
6537	<p>There appears to be no incentive to provide past performances, as a neutrally rated Past Performance phase progresses to the Technical Approach phase. Is there an advantage to receiving a Satisfactory Confidence Level?</p>	An Offeror that has relevant past performance but fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition.
6542	<p>Can offerors choose to not submit Past Performance REPs and receive a Neutral rating?</p>	An Offeror that has relevant past performance but fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition.
6545	<p>A.3.7.3 (a) Technical Approach - "The offeror must provide a summary description of their offerings and capabilities as it relates to the scope of the proposed Category as provided in A.1.2 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (FEB 2016). The summary shall provide detail as to how the offeror will support the four Acquisition Objectives including information in the following areas:..."</p> <p>Only three (3) Acquisition Objectives are listed. Can the Government please clarify what the fourth Objective is?</p>	The Acquisition Objectives are provided in Attachment A-SEWP Scope, Section A.1. ACQUISITION OBJECTIVES.
6558	<p>For the required Past Performance Matrix, can you validate that this interpretation is correct: We should list our Contract Identifier down the Y Axis of the matrix, and we should list the Relevant Category Content Representative Areas across the X Axis of the matrix, so that we can show which past performances apply to which relevant category content representative areas? Or, is there another level of detail expected to be shown across the X Axis?</p>	Please adhere to the Sample provided in the RFP.
6581	<p>RFP Section A.3.7.1(a), Page 100: The instructions state "Offerors may not use the ISO 9001:2015 and/or CMMI certifications of a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure." This significantly limits an offeror's abilities to submit a response to this solicitation. We respectfully request that the Government change this requirement to allow the use of certifications from of a Parent Company, Affiliate, Division, and/or Subsidiary within a corporate structure where the offeror is operating under the same quality management system of the parent company, affiliate, or subsidiary named on the certification.</p>	Amendment 8 updated the wording to allow for certificates to be used from entities with a Meaningful Relationship Commitment Letter.

6584	On page 105 the RFP states "An Offeror that fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition. Minimum of one (1) but no more than three (3) of your most recent similar contracts that are completed or ongoing within three (3) years of the solicitation release date. On page 117 the RFO states "An Offeror shall not be rated favorably or unfavorably if the offeror does not have a record of "recent" and "relevant" past performance or if a record of past performance is unavailable. In such cases the offeror will receive a "Neutral" rating." Will the government please clarify whether a minimum of one past performance reference is required to not be excluded from the competition, or whether a submission with no recent or relevant past performance is considered relevant, and therefore passes the pass/fail evaluation criteria for the Past Performance Volume?	An Offeror that has relevant past performance but fails to provide the minimum requirements of the past performance volume will result in the contractor being excluded from competition.
6595	A.3.7.2(a), item 12, Past Performance History, on page 108 states that Offerors identified as OTSB in Category A shall provide past performance references showcasing technology solutions for at least 4 content representative areas. Can the Government confirm that OTSB offerors need to map to these 4 areas across all submitted references, rather than per reference?	The Offeror can map the 4 references across any one or more of the references as long as all 4 areas are covered by at least one reference.
6596	Regarding Past Performance History. A.3.7.2(a), para. 1 on page 105 states that Prime Offerors shall indicate how the contracts are related to the proposed effort in content and scope. A.3.7.2(a), item 12, Past Performance History, on page 109 states that Offerors shall present a summary of relevant past performance information in matrix form as set forth below in Table 1, Sample Past Performance Matrix and accompany each category of the relevant experience project. Please confirm that the Government wants Offerors to write to relevance of content and scope only in terms of how their references relate to the 4 chosen content representative areas.	Confirmed.
6604	REF RFP A.3.7.2 (c), pg 110, Independent Past Performance Information. "NASA will consider relevant information provided by the Offeror, including past performance information for JV partners, teammates, and first-tier subcontractor(s), and may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)),..." Can the government clarify if the Offeror must submit CPARS in addition to the Past Performance Questionnaire, if CPARS exist? Or is the government going to independently obtain CPARS information to supplement an Offerors past performance history?	No. The Offeror should not submit any CPARS information. As stated in the RFP: "NASA may consider independently obtained information from Government sources (e.g., Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)), and non-Government sources, in assessing Past Performance."
6606	For the mandatory experience and REP requirements, please confirm that "total value size" means Total Contract Value. Project value for current/ongoing contracts is determined based on the total estimated value (inclusive of all option periods).	Confirmed.
6610	As stated "...of a single order or contract", please confirm that a single award BPA can be used as a single contract and can use total contract value.	Yes.
6612	What is expected of Mission Suitability- Volume III, in 1) Technical& 2) MGMT Approach? with respect to Proposal.	The instructions for Mission Suitability is contained in Section A.3.7.3 MISSION SUITABILITY VOLUME
6615	Will the government clarify whether the Exhibit 5: C-SCRM Attestation Form must be included as part of the management volume document? If so, will this exhibit be counted against the allotted page count for the volume?	Yes, Exhibit 5 is included as part of the management volume document. It is excluded from the page count.
6622	Please confirm that the "project value" is the Total Contract Value and that "annual value" is the Total Contract Value divided by the years on the awarded period of performance.	Confirmed.
6662	Please confirm that we do not need to use the same past performance contracts/projects for both Mandatory Experience and REP requirements.	Confirmed.

6664	<p>The RFP states:</p> <p>"A Small Business Prime Offeror may provide past performance references for first tier subcontractors to the extent the small business prime offeror does not independently demonstrate capabilities and past performance. The combined total of the Offeror's (including JVs) and proposed first tier subcontractors' past prime or subcontract experiences shall be limited to no more than three (3) reference contracts for the Offeror (including JVs) and no more than one (1) reference Contract for each first-tier subcontractor for which performance occurred within the last three (3) years of the release date of the final SEWP VI RFP."</p> <p>The RFP clearly allows for past performance references from first tier subcontractors for Small Business Prime Offerors. The RFP also makes reference in several cases to the inclusion of JVs as a characterization of the the term "Offeror". When reading the language strictly, the requirement seems to indicate that Small Business Prime Offerors that are JVs can provide past performance references from the JV entity itself, and can provide past performance references from first tier subcontractors, but it is unclear whether a Small Business Prime Offeror that is a JV could provide past performance references from the JV members (who are neither the Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity, nor first tier subcontractors to the Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity). JV entities are often unpopulated and rely on the qualifications of the JV members to demonstrate the qualifications of the JV entity - please clarify that JV members can provide past performance references on behalf of a Small Business Prime Offeror JV entity.</p>	Refer to A.3.7.2 of the RFP.
6666	Can CPARs be provided in place of the past performance questionnaire requirement?	No.
6674	Please confirm there is not a mandatory subcontracting goal/amount that we must adhere to for AbilityOne subcontractors.	Confirmed.
6678	Based on industry standards, if the offeror has CPARS for an existing past performance contract, can that be provided in lieu of a completed past performance questionnaire?	No.
6716	Many orders that would fall in Category A do not meet the minimum of 6 months of performance to include in Past Performance as many of the orders are fulfilling purchases. For contracts that have been completed, but were less than 6 months of performance, will the Past Performance be acceptable if it meets the average annual value requirement?	No.
6725	In reference to classifications for HUBZone, SDVOSB, EDWOSB, 8a businesses, has the government set any targets for number or distribution of awardees?	No.
6739	According to the example provided to help demonstrate how to calculate average annual value, a value of 1 would be assigned for a contract of 12 months and a value of .5 would be assigned to a contract of 6 months. Using the formula example provided, the average annual value for a contract of 6 months and a contract value of \$75,000 would equal \$150,000 for average annual value. Is it acceptable to use the formula this way in order to calculate average annual value?	No. The current contract expenditures incurred to date, the date in which the expenditures have been incurred through, and the Average Annual Value to Date.
6741	Can we use CPARs instead of PPQs for the customer evaluation section of Vol II?	No.
6744	Please reference "The offeror must provide past performance submissions as it relates to the NAICS code being used for competition." Please clarify if this means that the past performance information/references submitted by offerors need to fall under one of the NAICS code within scope listed in Section A.1.34 applicable to the category under which the proposal is being submitted?	The NAICS code of a referenced contract or award must relate to the NAICS code being used for competition which is selected at time of proposal from the Section A.1.34. Table.
6773	In order to be an 8(a) contract, then source selection must be bucketed. Can the Government confirm if 8(a)s will be evaluated against only other 8(a)s, SBs will only be evaluated against other SBs, etc.?	All Offerors are evaluated in accordance with the RFP.
6776	We are curious about how the relevancy within the technical area will be evaluated. Let's say that within a \$1 million project, we sold one laptop from Dell as part of that order. Would that constitute relevancy for Content Representative Area 1 IT Computer Systems / Compute Facilities? It's obvious that if we sold 1,000 Lenovo laptops then that would be considered relevant for Representative Area 1. What is the line for achieving relevancy within the Past Performance narratives? Is selling one laptop enough to qualify as relevant or is there a specific number? The same scenario could be applied for cables. Is selling 1 cable enough or would we have to sell a minimum number/length of cables to qualify for relevancy?	The Offeror must describe how the order as a whole is relevant to the Technical Area.

6793	Doesn't the AbilityOnefee place undue burden on small businesses?	Any AbilityOne Nonprofit Agency (NPA) subcontracting under SEWP VI must execute a referral agreement and is subject to a fee.
------	-------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------